



REPORT

September 2019 Groundwater and Springwater Monitoring Events

Stockyard Hill Wind Farm Quarry

Submitted to:

Stockyard Hill Wind Farm Pty Ltd

Level 4, 485 Latrobe Street
Melbourne, VIC, 3000

Submitted by:


Golder Associates Pty Ltd

Building 7, Botanicca Corporate Park 570 – 588 Swan Street Richmond, Victoria 3121
Australia

+61 3 8862 3500

1783485-020-R-Rev0

4 December 2019



Distribution List

1 Electronic Copy- Stockyard Hill Wind Farm Pty Ltd

1 Electronic Copy- Golder Associates Pty Ltd

Table of Contents

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General.....	1
1.2 Objectives.....	1
1.3 Background	1
1.4 Scope of Field Program	2
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF WORKS	2
2.1 Groundwater Level Monitoring	2
2.2 Groundwater Sampling	2
2.3 Spring Sampling.....	4
3.0 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND TRIGGER CONDITIONS.....	4
3.1 Groundwater Elevation Triggers	4
3.2 Groundwater Quality Triggers	4
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	6
4.1 Groundwater Levels and Flow Direction	6
4.1.1 Comparison with groundwater elevation triggers.....	7
4.2 Groundwater Quality	8
4.2.1 Assessment of Data Quality.....	8
4.2.2 Water Quality Results	10
4.2.3 Comparison with water quality triggers	14
5.0 CONCLUSIONS	16
6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS.....	16
7.0 IMPORTANT INFORMATION.....	17
8.0 REFERENCES.....	17

TABLES (in text)

Table 1: Groundwater Sampling Summary	3
Table 2: Groundwater Elevation Triggers.....	4
Table 3: Groundwater Quality Trigger Levels.....	5
Table 4: Groundwater Elevation Trigger Levels (August, October 2018)	8

Table 5: QAQC Summary.....	9
Table 6: Groundwater IBE and Type (August and October 2018)	10
Table 7: Groundwater Quality Trigger Levels (August-October 2018).....	14

CHARTS (in text)

Chart 1: Quarry design schematic section.....	1
Chart 2: Groundwater Elevations vs Time.....	7
Chart 3: Water Levels against Trigger Values.....	8
Chart 4: Piper Diagram - Groundwater and Surface Water August-October 2018 (February 2018 shown in inset)	12

FIGURES (attached)

- Figure 1 – Groundwater Investigation Locations
- Figure 2 – Groundwater Elevation – September 2019

TABLES (Attached)

- Table A – Groundwater Level Gauging Results
- Table B – Field Parameters
- Table C – Groundwater Analysis Results
- Table D – Duplicate Sample Analysis Results
- Table E – Field Blanks and Rinsate Blanks

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Field records

APPENDIX B

Instrument Calibration Records

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Reports

APPENDIX D

Important Information Relating to this Report

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Golder Associates Pty Ltd (Golder) was commissioned by Stockyard Hill Wind Farm Pty Ltd (SHWF) to provide bi-annual reporting of groundwater and surface water monitoring for the Stockyard Hill Wind Farm quarry. The quarry is located at Stockyard Hill-Wangatta Road, Stockyard Hill (Lot 2, PS604561R) (the site) as shown in Figure 1.

A Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan (GMMP; Golder, 2018a) was developed as a requirement of the quarry planning permit (PA2499/16). Under the GMMP, groundwater level monitoring at monitoring wells and on-site groundwater wells with windmills is required on a quarterly basis, and groundwater and spring sampling is required on a biannual (i.e. six monthly) basis. This report relates to the September 2019 sampling and water level monitoring.

The monitoring was conducted by the quarry operator (SNC Lavalin-WBHO Infrastructure JV: SNCL-WBHO), and results provided to Golder for the purpose of compiling this report.

1.2 Objectives

This report aims to assist SHWF in satisfying the objectives of the GMMP including:

- Assisting in ensuring that the quarry excavation does not intersect groundwater, and
- Providing an indication of impacts on groundwater levels or quality which may be attributable to quarry operations.

1.3 Background

Stockyard Hill Wind Farm Pty Ltd is developing a wind farm with 149 wind turbine generators (WTG) within the Stockyard Hill area, referred to as the Stockyard Hill Wind Farm. The crushed rock required for the construction of the wind farm, including associated infrastructure, is being sourced from a temporary quarry at the site. SNCL-WBHO is operating the temporary quarry on behalf of SHWF, which will be excavated to a depth of up to approximately 13 m below the current ground level Chart 1. The base of the quarry is designed to be above the water table.




Chart 1: Quarry design schematic longitudinal section

Golder understands the development of the quarry commenced in August 2018.

1.4 Scope of Field Program

Field work conducted by SNCL-WBHO in September 2019 included:

- Water level monitoring at six groundwater wells and two windmill wells,
- Groundwater sampling from five monitoring wells (BH02, BH03, BH04, BH05, BH06: *BH94 was not sampled),
- Spring water sampling from Bain's Spring and Meallack Spring.

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF WORKS

2.1 Groundwater Level Monitoring

On 3 September 2019 the groundwater levels were measured in six groundwater wells and two windmill wells.

The wells were those listed in the GMMP: BH02, BH03, BH04, BH05, BH06, BH94, WM01 and WM07. Depth to water (DTW) was measured in metres below top of casing (mbTOC). The depth to the bottom of the wells (BOW) was also measured for groundwater wells (BH02-BH94). In addition, BH03 was measured twice, at the beginning and end of the gauging round. Groundwater depths and corresponding elevations are presented in Table A (attached) and the field gauging sheet included in APPENDIX A.

2.2 Groundwater Sampling

On 4 September 2019, one day prior to sampling, additional purging was undertaken at BH04, BH05, BH06 and BH94 and the wells left to recover before sampling the following day. BH02 and BH03 were purged prior to sampling on 5 September 2019. Groundwater sampling was undertaken on 5 September 2019 using a combination of low-flow methods and foot valve sampling (sampling flow rates were between 0.175 L/min to 0.85 L/min). Sampling occurred at five of the six groundwater monitoring wells listed in the GMMP. Sampling did not occur at BH94 although the reason was not stated: it was likely due to insufficient recharge following purging. The depth to groundwater was measured in each well prior to sampling. Groundwater purging records are summarised in see Table 1 below.

The GMMP defines stabilisation as when three consecutive field parameter readings are within the specified ranges (within $\pm 10\%$ for DO, $\pm 3\%$ for EC, ± 0.05 for pH and $\pm 10\text{mV}$ for Eh). This was not achieved at any of the wells sampled:

- During sampling at BH02, excessive drawdown occurred during low-flow method purging, even at a flow rate of 0.08 L/min, so a sample was collected from the purge bucket after one reading of field parameters.
- When sampling BH03 using the low-flow pump at a sampling flow rate of 0.175 L/min the water level was still not able to stabilise when the sample was collected. Two field parameter readings were collected prior to sampling, which were stable except for Eh.
- BH04, BH05 and BH06 were sampled using the foot valve method rather than low-flow method at sampling rates of 0.6 L/min, 0.85 L/min and 0.6 L/min respectively. These wells were sampled after two field parameter readings. The field parameters collected at each of these wells were generally close to stabilisation.
 - Sampling may have been conducted prior to stabilisation due to declining water levels at BH04, however considering 84 L was extracted the previous day, this well is likely to have stabilised,

- The 20 L of previously purged water from BH05 and BH06 makes them likely to be representative of groundwater that has recharged from the aquifer.

Groundwater field parameters are presented in Table B (attached), the groundwater sampling field records are presented in APPENDIX A and calibration records are included in APPENDIX B.

Table 1: Groundwater Purging Summary

	BH02	BH03	BH04	BH05	BH06	BH94
Initial DTW (mbTOC)	24.000	22.924	11.596	15.254	13.220	12.920
Purging on 4 September 2019	n/a	n/a	84 L at 5.25 L/min	20 L at 0.68 L/min	20 L at 0.8 L/min	15 L at 0.58 L/min
Additional pre-sample purging on 5 September 2019	10 L at 0.08 L/min	20 L at 0.22 L/min	10 L at 5.25 L/min	15 L at 0.68 L/min	10 L at 0.8 L/min	Not sampled
Final DTW (mbTOC)	n/a	23.244	11.490	16.800	14.200	12.969

NOTES: n/a: no record available

During sampling a rinsate blank, field blank and primary and secondary duplicate sample were also collected for quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) purposes. Groundwater and QA/QC samples were analysed for:

- pH
- Total dissolved solids (TDS)
- Major cations and anions (sodium (Na), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), chloride (Cl), bicarbonate (HCO₃), carbonate (CO₃), total alkalinity, sulphate (SO₄))
- Dissolved metals (iron, manganese)
- Nitrogen compounds (ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, total nitrogen)
- Total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH) and benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene, naphthalene (BTEXN)
- Biological oxygen demand (BOD), *E. coli*, *Enterococci*.

Samples were submitted to NATA accredited laboratories for analysis with:

- Eurofins-MGT Pty Ltd was used as the primary laboratory.
- Australian Laboratory Services Pty Ltd (ALS) was engaged as the secondary testing laboratory.

Analytical results obtained from the groundwater monitoring well samples are included in Table C attached, with the laboratory certificates presented in APPENDIX C.

2.3 Spring Sampling

Two springs in the vicinity of the site were sampled on 5 September 2019: Meallack Spring and Bain's Spring. Access to the other springs listed in the GMMP was not granted by the landholders. Spring water field parameters are presented in Table B (attached) and the sampling field records are presented in APPENDIX A, with calibration records included in APPENDIX B. As the spring sampling was conducted on the same day as groundwater sampling additional QA/QC samples were not collected.

3.0 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA AND TRIGGER CONDITIONS

3.1 Groundwater Elevation Triggers

For wells within 500 m of the quarry, the trigger level for groundwater elevation was set at 365 m AHD, which is 2 m below the estimated lowest point of the base of the quarry (Golder, 2018a). The four monitoring wells within 500 m of the quarry have the corresponding depth to groundwater trigger levels listed in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Groundwater Elevation Triggers

Well ID	Depth to Groundwater at Trigger Level 365 m AHD (m bTOC)
BH02	17.36
BH03	19.97
WM01	3.94
WM07	25.70
Note: m AHD = metres Australian Height Datum	

The trigger level was not applied to BH06 as the ground surface at this well was below the trigger level. If trigger levels are exceeded, response measures as outlined in Section 7.3 of the GMMP will be enacted.

3.2 Groundwater Quality Triggers

Based on the background groundwater salinity range of between approximately 330 mg/L and 1,100 mg/L total dissolved solids (TDS) the groundwater classification would be either Segment A1 or A2 (defined as up to 1,200 mg/L TDS) under the State Environment Protection Policy "Waters" (SEPP Waters, 2018). Therefore, the beneficial uses of groundwater to be protected at the site, in accordance with the SEPP Waters, are:

- Water dependent ecosystems and species
- Potable Water Supply
- Agriculture and irrigation
- Stock Watering
- Industrial and commercial
- Primary Contact Recreation (e.g. bathing and swimming)
- Traditional Owner cultural values
- Cultural and spiritual values

- Buildings and Structures
- Geothermal properties.

Although the trigger levels set in the GMMP were based on the previous SEPP (Groundwaters of Victoria, 1997), the groundwater segment classification has not changed, and additional protected beneficial uses introduced in the 2018 SEPP do not introduce additional assessment criteria. Assessment criteria for ecosystems and extractive beneficial uses can generally be adopted as being conservative and protective of cultural and spiritual values. The temperature of groundwater at the site has been measured to be below 30°C, so the geothermal beneficial use is not considered to be relevant. Therefore, no additional criteria have been adopted for this beneficial use.

Groundwater quality trigger levels were set in the GMMP as either:

- Guideline levels protective of the beneficial uses listed above, or
- Where existing levels are greater than the guideline levels, approximately 150% of the pre-existing concentrations (being the highest of available analysis results from 2012 to 2017) for monitoring wells and springs.

The presence of non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL, e.g. hydrocarbon fuel) as either a measurable thickness in the well or a sheen on the surface of the water, is included as a trigger, in addition to trigger levels for dissolved hydrocarbons (as TRH and BTEXN).

Well maintenance and redevelopment occurred in BH94 in July 2018 to rectify suspected ingress of organic materials from the ground surface while the well head was in poor condition. Under the GMMP, trigger levels for TRH, ammonia and iron will not apply at BH94 until it is established that concentrations below trigger levels have been restored.

Water quality trigger levels are listed in Table 3. If trigger levels are exceeded, response measures as outlined in Section 7.3 of the GMMP will be enacted.

Table 3: Groundwater Quality Trigger Levels

Parameter	Units	Trigger Level – Wells	Trigger Level – Springs
TDS	mg/L	1,700	600
pH (field)	pH units	4.9-8.5	6.3-8.5
Sodium	mg/L	400	150
Calcium	mg/L	1,000	1,000
Magnesium	mg/L	2,000	2,000
Chloride	mg/L	600	150
Sulphate (as SO ⁴)	mg/L	250	250
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	50	50
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	0.9	0.9
Ammonia (as N)	mg/L	0.74	0.74
Total nitrogen	mg/L	50	50

Parameter	Units	Trigger Level – Wells	Trigger Level – Springs
Iron	mg/L	0.2	0.2
Manganese	mg/L	0.3	0.1
TRH C ₆ -C ₉	mg/L	0.6	0.6
TRH C ₁₀ -C ₃₆	mg/L	0.6	0.6
Benzene	mg/L	0.001	0.001
Toluene	mg/L	0.025	0.025
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	0.003	0.003
Xylene (total)	mg/L	0.02	0.02
Naphthalene	mg/L	0.016	0.016
<i>E. coli</i>	orgs/100 mL	1	1
<i>Enterococci</i>	orgs/100 mL	1	1
NAPL	-	Hydrocarbon sheen or measurable thickness	

Notes:

Trigger levels in bold are based on pre-existing concentrations, rather than published guidelines.

Trigger levels for pH calculated as $\log_e(e^{(\text{minimum pH})/1.5})$.

Trigger levels for *E. coli* and *Enterococci* were derived from NHMRC (2016) Australian Drinking Water Guidelines 6, 2011 (Version 3.3, Updated November 2016), which state that these bacteria “should not be detected in any 100 mL sample of drinking water”. Laboratory limit of reporting of 1 org/100 mL adopted as trigger level.

4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Groundwater Levels and Flow Direction

Groundwater depth and corresponding elevation are presented in Table A (attached). A hydrograph showing trends in water levels is shown below in Chart 2 and an inferred groundwater elevation contour map for September 2019 in Figure 2.




Chart 2: Groundwater Elevations vs Time

Groundwater beneath the site ranged between a relative level (RL) of 361.16 m AHD in the east of the site (WM07) down to RL 346.22 m AHD in the north-west of the site (BH05) (Figure 2). Based on the groundwater elevations the overall direction of groundwater flow is inferred to be in a westerly direction (Figure 2). This is consistent with previous interpretations (Golder, 2019a, b).

From Chart 2 the September 2019 monitoring shows an increase in water level at most groundwater locations since the previous monitoring round in May 2019. Groundwater levels were between 0.8 m and 3.7 m higher than in May 2019. This reverses a trend of declining groundwater levels from December 2016 to May 2019 and is likely due to above average rainfall in May, June and August 2019¹.

Groundwater levels in the wells with windmills are not static levels due to pumping from the well. Depending on the rate of pumping (controlled by the wind) groundwater levels may have been drawn down more or less at the time of the measurements. Therefore, the change in groundwater levels between monitoring events is not necessarily representative of a genuine change of the groundwater levels within the aquifer, but a dynamic change affected both by the pumping rate and genuine changes in the aquifer.

4.1.1 Comparison with Groundwater Elevation Triggers

Comparison of groundwater depths with trigger levels for wells within 500 m of the quarry indicate that groundwater levels in the wells were below the trigger levels established for each well in the GMMP. This comparison is summarised in Table 4.

¹ As recorded at Bureau of Meteorology Beaufort station.

Table 4: Groundwater Elevation Trigger Levels (September 2019)

Well ID	Depth to groundwater at trigger level (365 m AHD) (m BTOC)	Depth to Groundwater September 2019 (m BTOC)	Depth to groundwater below trigger level?
BH02	17.36	24.00	Yes
BH03	19.97	22.92	Yes
WM01	3.94	11.22	Yes
WM07	25.70	29.54	Yes

Chart 3 provides a visual representation of the comparison of the RL within each of these wells over time against the RL for the trigger level (365 m AHD).




Chart 3: Relative Water Levels Over Time Compared to Trigger Level

4.2 Groundwater Quality

4.2.1 Assessment of Data Quality

A total of seven primary samples (combined total of groundwater and spring water samples) were analysed by the primary laboratory. A primary and secondary duplicate were collected, giving one duplicate pair for seven primary samples, above the GMMP required rate of one in twenty samples.

Duplicate results can be found in Table D attached to this report: duplicates with high RPDs are listed and discussed below. Rinsates and Field Blanks were taken in the September 2019 round of sampling, complying with the GMMP required rate of one per round (Table E attached). Calibration sheets were provided for interface probe and water quality meter (see APPENDIX B). Chain of custody forms (CoCs) were provided for each report (see APPENDIX C).

Results of the overall compliance with Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) are provided in Table 5 and discussed below.

Table 5: QAQC Summary

GMMP Minimum Requirement/DQO	No. of Results (individual analytes) Not Meeting DQOs	Total Number of Results (individual analytes)	% Compliant
Primary Duplicate RPDs >50%	2	43	95%
Secondary Duplicate RPDs >50%	2	40	95%
Field Blanks above LOR ²	0	38	100%
Rinsate blanks above LOR	2	38	95%
Internal laboratory duplicates RPDs >30%	0	23	100%
Internal laboratory spikes	1	18	94%
Internal Laboratory Method Blanks	0	30	100%
Overall Completeness	7	230	97%

Notes:

RPD = relative percentage difference.

Overall, the quality assurance exceeds the adopted 95% completeness target. Further discussion of the results not meeting the DQO is provided under the headings below. Overall the data is considered representative.

Duplicate Repeatability

Two primary duplicate results; organic nitrogen and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN); had RPD values above 50%, as shown in Table D. TKN is equivalent to organic nitrogen plus ammonia results and therefore realistically only the organic nitrogen result has an elevated RPD. This RPD exceedance is relatively minor at 53%. The secondary laboratory reported a concentration of organic nitrogen (3.1 mg/L) similar to that of the primary sample (1.8 mg/L) and therefore the primary laboratory potentially under-reports the concentration. As total nitrogen which includes the organic nitrogen result, is below the groundwater trigger values this exceedance would not affect the outcome of the assessment.

² LOR = Limit of Reporting

Two secondary duplicate results; manganese and ammonia; had RPD values above 50%, as shown in Table D. In both cases, the primary laboratory result was below LOR (<0.005 mg/L and <0.01 mg/L respectively), and the secondary laboratory reported concentrations close to the LOR (0.001 mg/L and 0.03 mg/L respectively). Therefore, the RPD exceedances result from small absolute concentration differences close to the LOR, so do not affect interpretation of the results.

The secondary duplicate was not analysed for microbiological parameters or biological oxygen demand (BOD), so the accuracy of these parameters cannot be assessed.

Blanks

The rinsate blank reported a concentration of nitrate (0.12 mg/L) and subsequently the calculated value for nitrate + nitrite (0.12 mg/L) above the LOR. A single analyte indicates that there was no gross cross-contamination occurring. Additionally, as all nitrate results were reported below the groundwater quality trigger levels the rinsate result would not affect the assessment outcome.

Ion Balance Errors

The ionic balance errors (IBEs) for the major anions and cations in the groundwater and spring water samples are listed in Table 6 below. IBEs were within an acceptable range of less than +/-10% apart from BH02, BH05 and Bain's Spring. The major ion concentrations and water type for these samples may therefore be less accurate. However, the samples from BH02 and Bain's Spring had the same water type as the previous (February 2019) round. The water type for BH05 has seen a reduction in the proportion of magnesium: previously the water type was Na-Mg/Cl-HCO₃, so magnesium is possibly under-reported.

Table 6: Groundwater IBE and Type (September 2019)

Well/Spring ID	Date Sampled	IBE (%)	Water Type
BH02	05/09/2019	-16.22	Na-Mg/HCO ₃ -Cl
BH03	05/09/2019	6.44	Na-Mg/HCO ₃ -Cl
BH04	05/09/2019	-6.50	Na-Mg/HCO ₃ -NO ₃ -Cl
BH05	05/09/2019	-13.21	Na/HCO ₃ -Cl
BH06	05/09/2019	-7.51	Na-Mg/NO ₃ -HCO ₃ -Cl
Bain's Spring	05/09/2019	12.35	Na-Mg/HCO ₃ -NO ₃ -Cl
Meallack Spring	05/09/2019	-0.01	Na-Mg/HCO ₃ -Cl-NO ₃

4.2.2 Water Quality Results

Table C (attached) summarises the results of groundwater and spring water sample analysis for the September 2019 monitoring.

BH94 was not sampled in February or September 2019. Golder (2019b) noted that well maintenance and redevelopment occurred at BH94 in July 2018 to rectify suspected ingress of organic materials from the ground surface while the well head was in poor condition. Under the latest GMMP, trigger levels for TPH, ammonia and iron (which had exceeded trigger levels) would not apply at BH94 until it is established that concentrations below trigger levels have been restored. Sampling at BH94 should resume to confirm that actions taken to rectify potential surface impacts on groundwater have been successful.

TDS, pH and Major Ions

The concentrations of TDS, pH and major ions in all samples for September 2019 were below or within (for pH) the trigger levels for pH, TDS, sodium, calcium, magnesium, chloride and sulphate for both groundwater and surface water.

TDS concentrations in groundwater samples in September 2019 ranged from 410 mg/L (BH06) to 1,400 mg/L (BH02) and therefore were all below the trigger level for groundwater quality (1,700 mg/L). The TDS concentration for spring water samples in September 2019 was 320 mg/L at Bain's Spring and 390 mg/L at Meallack Spring, below the spring water trigger level (600 mg/L). The TDS concentration in BH02, which in February 2016 reported a result equal to the trigger level, reported a result of 1,400 mg/L, below the trigger level in September 2019.

The pH results indicate that the groundwater and spring water were slightly alkaline, with values in September 2019 ranging from 8.1 to 8.5 pH units (laboratory results). These results are within the trigger level range of 4.9-8.5 pH units however BH02 and BH03 were at the maximum for the range. Field pH readings (APPENDIX B) were recorded lower than the laboratory results and in groundwater ranged from 7.22 to 8.14 pH units and in spring water 5.42 pH units (Meallack Spring) and 6.37 pH units (Bain's Spring). Given the laboratory recommended holding time of 6 hours for pH is exceeded, pH readings in the field are considered to be more representative of site conditions.

Groundwater is indicated generally to have Na and Mg as dominant cations, and HCO₃, with either or both of Cl and NO₃ as co-dominant anions (see Table 6 above). A Piper diagram for the major ion data is shown in Chart 4, with no significant change noted from previous monitoring (previous data from February 2019 shown in inset top left).




Chart 4: Piper Diagram - Groundwater and Surface Water September 2019 (February 2019 shown in inset)

Nutrients

The concentrations for nutrients in all samples for September 2019 were below the trigger levels for nitrate (as N), nitrite (as N), ammonia (as N) and total nitrogen for both groundwater and surface water.

Nitrate (as N) concentrations in groundwater in September 2019 ranged from 0.06 mg/L (BH02) to 35 mg/L (BH06) and were 25 mg/L in each Spring water sample. All results were therefore below the trigger level of 50 mg/L. The nitrate concentrations were generally higher than in February 2019 and in wells BH05 and BH06 and Meallack Spring were the highest concentration over the monitoring period since July 2017. The sample analytical results indicated that nitrate was widespread in the groundwater system prior to any quarry operations, including at the springs, and has not significantly changed during quarry operations to date. Nitrate is known to occur in this aquifer system (Lawrence, 1983) and may be a result of agricultural activities, such as livestock keeping and the application of nitrogen fertilisers.

Ammonia (as N) concentrations in September 2019 ranged from below the LOR of 0.01 mg/L (BH04, BH05, BH06 and the springs) to 0.07 mg/L (BH02). Most wells were consistent with the ranges seen in previous sampling results except BH02 which recorded its highest result 0.07 mg/L. The concentration of ammonia in the secondary duplicate sample from BH04 for September 2019 was 0.03 mg/L which was below the trigger level of 0.74 mg/L but was above the primary sample and primary duplicate sample which were below the LOR of 0.01 mg/L.

BOD was above the LOR (5 mg/L) at BH02 (13 mg/L) and BH05 (5.8 mg/L) in September 2019. These concentrations are lower than the results from February 2019. No trigger level has been established for BOD.

Microbiological

The results for *E. Coli* for September 2019 for BH04 and BH06 were below the LOR of 1 cfu/100 mL and the result for Meallack Spring was reported equal to the LOR and therefore did not exceed the trigger level (1 cfu/100 mL). The results for BH02 and BH05 were reported below a raised LOR of 10 cfu/100 mL and therefore may be above the trigger level. The result for BH03 was reported above the trigger value at 180 cfu/100 mL, as was the result for Bain's Spring (110 cfu/100 mL). *E. Coli* had not previously been reported above LOR for samples from BH03. The result at Bain's Spring was below the concentration of 390 cfu/100 mL reported in March 2018.

The results for *Enterococci* in September 2019 were reported equal to (Meallack Spring) or above the LOR and trigger levels of 1 cfu/100 mL in all wells except BH06. The results for BH05 were reported below a raised LOR of 10 cfu/100 mL and therefore may be above the trigger level. Exceedances of the trigger levels in groundwater ranged from 3 cfu/100 mL (BH04) to 1,700 cfu/100 mL (BH03) and the Bain's Spring sample reported a result of 12 cfu/100 mL. The result for BH03, as for *E. Coli*, was the highest seen at this well. The result for BH02 (520 cfu/100 mL) was lower than the concentration of 2,400 cfu/100 mL in February 2019.

E. Coli and *Enterococci* are indicators of faecal contamination from warm-blooded animals including humans and animals bred in agriculture. The areas around the springs and wells are open to grazing animals which are likely to be the source of the *E. Coli* and *Enterococci* as the proposed septic tank for the quarry was not installed. The presence of *E. coli* and *Enterococci* in multiple groundwater wells and both Springs sampled suggest concentrations unrelated to the quarry operation. Therefore, it is recommended to reassess the trigger levels for these analytes. The trigger levels for these parameters were set to Australian Drinking Water Guidelines (ADWG) (NHMRC, 2016) in the absence of information on baseline concentrations. With the multiple rounds of sampling that have now been conducted trigger levels could be established. Trigger levels should be set by applying the same methodology as in the GMMP of approximately 150% of the maximum existing concentration. As per the ADWG (NHMRC, 2016) water containing detectable numbers of *E. coli* and *Enterococci* should not be used for drinking water without appropriate treatment.

Metals

All results for groundwater and surface water for September 2019 for dissolved iron were reported below the LOR of 0.05 mg/L and therefore were below the trigger level of 0.2 mg/L.

Results for manganese in groundwater and surface water in September 2019 were below the trigger value of 0.3 mg/L except for the results for BH02 (0.8 mg/L) and BH03 (0.33 mg/L). The concentration at BH02 was lower than the concentration of 1.3 mg/L reported in February 2019 but remains above the trigger value. The concentration at BH03 is an order of magnitude higher than the previous maximum result of 0.015 mg/L in July 2013. The GMMP states that exceedance of groundwater quality trigger levels at up-gradient wells (i.e. BH02, BH03) does not require any further response or action, so long as the groundwater flow system is maintained. Therefore, no further action is recommended in response to the manganese exceedances at BH02 and BH03, other than ongoing monitoring in accordance with the GMMP.

Hydrocarbons

Hydrocarbon compounds (BTEXN, TRH) were reported below the LORs for all samples.

Hydrocarbons had only previously been reported at BH94 (TRH fractions in 2017 and 2018) which was not sampled in February or September 2019.

4.2.3 Comparison with Water Quality Triggers

Comparison of available groundwater and spring water analytical results with the adopted water quality trigger levels is presented in Table C (attached), with exceedances summarised in Table 7.

Table 7: Water Quality Trigger Level Exceedances Summary for September 2019

Parameter	Units	Trigger Level – Monitoring Wells	Trigger Level Exceeded? ¹	Trigger Level – Springs	Trigger Level Exceeded?
TDS	mg/L	1,700	No	600	No
pH (Field)	pH units	4.9-8.5	No (equal to maximum at BH02 and BH03)	6.3-8.5	No
Sodium	mg/L	400	No	150	No
Calcium	mg/L	1,000	No	1,000	No
Magnesium	mg/L	2,000	No	2,000	No
Chloride	mg/L	600	No	150	No
Sulphate (as SO ₄)	mg/L	250	No	250	No
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	50	No	50	No
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	0.9	No	0.9	No
Ammonia (as N)	mg/L	0.74	No	0.74	No
Total nitrogen	mg/L	50	No	50	No
Iron	mg/L	0.2	No	0.2	No
Manganese	mg/L	0.3	Yes (BH02, BH03)	0.1	No
TRH C ₆ -C ₉	mg/L	0.6	No	0.6	No
TRH C ₁₀ -C ₃₆	mg/L	0.6	No	0.6	No
Benzene	mg/L	0.001	No	0.001	No
Toluene	mg/L	0.025	No	0.025	No
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	0.003	No	0.003	No
Xylene (total)	mg/L	0.02	No	0.02	No
Naphthalene	mg/L	0.016	No	0.016	No

Parameter	Units	Trigger Level – Monitoring Wells	Trigger Level Exceeded? ¹	Trigger Level – Springs	Trigger Level Exceeded?
<i>E. coli</i>	orgs/100mL	1	Yes (BH03) ²	1	Yes (Bain's Spring)
<i>Enterococci</i>	orgs/100mL	1	Yes (BH02, BH03, BH04) ³	1	Yes (Bain's Spring)
Hydrocarbon sheen / NAPL	Presence	Not Present	No	Not present	No

NOTES: ¹ BH94 was not sampled and could not be compared to trigger levels
² BH02 and BH05 had raised LOR of 10 cfu/100 mL and could not be assessed against the trigger level of 1 cfu/100 mL
³ BH05 had raised LOR of 10 cfu/100 mL and could not be assessed against the trigger level of 1 cfu/100 mL

In summary:

- All locations recommended for sampling in the GMMP were sampled except for BH94.
- Groundwater and spring water samples collected in September 2019 did not exceed the water quality trigger levels of the GMMP, except for manganese (at BH02, BH03), *E. coli* (at BH03 and Bain's Spring) and *Enterococci* (at BH02, BH03, BH04 and Bain's Spring).
- The TDS concentration in BH02, which in February 2016 was equal to the trigger level (1,700 mg/L), reported a result of 1,400 mg/L, below the trigger level, in September 2019.
- The nitrate concentrations were generally higher than in February 2019, but remained below the trigger level. Concentrations in wells BH05 and BH06 and Meallack Spring were the highest concentration over the monitoring period since July 2017. The sample analytical results indicated that nitrate was widespread in the groundwater system prior to any quarry operations, including at the springs, and has not significantly changed during quarry operations to date.
- Ammonia concentrations in most wells were consistent with the ranges seen in previous sampling results except BH02 which recorded its highest result 0.07 mg/L as N. Results remained below the trigger level of 0.74 mg/L as N.
- The presence of *E. coli* and *Enterococci* in multiple groundwater wells and both Springs sampled suggest concentrations unrelated to the quarry operation. Therefore, it is recommended to reassess the trigger values for these analytes. Site specific trigger levels could be established using the multiple rounds of sampling that have now been conducted. Trigger levels should be set by applying the same methodology as in the GMMP.
- Manganese results for BH02 and BH03 were above the trigger levels, with BH02 reducing since February 2019 and the result of BH03 being an order of magnitude greater than any previous result at that well. As BH02 and BH03 are up or across hydraulic gradient from the quarry, no further action is recommended in response to the manganese exceedances at BH02 and BH03, other than ongoing monitoring in accordance with the GMMP.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

SNCL-WBHO has undertaken the September 2019 groundwater and spring water sampling generally to the requirements outlined in the GMMP. One well listed in the GMMP (BH94) was not sampled. The available groundwater results delivered the scope required to meet the objectives stated in Section 1.2 with:

- Water levels measured at all wells specified in the GMMP, for comparison with groundwater elevation trigger levels to assess the potential for groundwater to intersect the quarry excavation,
- Although BH94 was not sampled, other wells were present and sampled in the down-gradient direction monitored by BH94. Therefore, impacts on groundwater quality attributable to the quarry can be assessed by the sampled wells,
- Samples may have been collected prior to stabilisation of field parameters during purging, but this may have been limited by slow groundwater recharge rates at some of the wells.

Surface water sampling was restricted to two springs: Bain's spring, approximately 5 km to the south-east of the quarry; and Meallack Spring, approximately 6 km to the west of the quarry. Therefore, limited information is available to monitor the water quality at closer springs. Land-holder access was not granted to sample these closer springs.

The monitoring results indicated that:

- The overall direction of groundwater flow was inferred to be in a westerly direction, consistent with previous assessments.
- Groundwater levels were generally higher than the previous sampling round in February 2019 but for wells within 500 m of the quarry remain 3 to 7 m below the groundwater elevation trigger level.
- Overall, the quality assurance data exceeds the adopted 95% completeness target. As a result, the quality of the data generated from this assessment is considered to provide sufficient basis for conclusions related to the groundwater monitoring at the site.
- Groundwater and surface water samples collected in September 2019 exceeded the water quality trigger levels of the GMMP for *E. coli* (BH03 and Bain's Spring), *Enterococci* (BH02, BH03, BH04 and Bain's Spring) and manganese (BH02 and BH03).
 - The detection of bacteria (*E. coli* and *Enterococci*), indicators of faecal contamination, in three of the five groundwater wells sampled and at both springs sampled indicates that the source is likely unrelated to the quarry operation, as a septic tank was not installed the quarry.
 - The concentrations of manganese at BH02 and BH03 likely represent conditions unrelated to development of the quarry, as these sampling locations are up or across hydraulic gradient from the quarry.

6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are provided for future sampling:

- Water level measurements should be made during sampling to demonstrate stabilisation of the water level prior to sampling. When water levels do not stabilise, the purging rate should be decreased. If water levels still do not stabilise, a sample should be collected during purging before the well is purged dry.

- Purging of monitoring wells should be undertaken at a similar rate to sampling, particularly for wells known to recharge slowly. If a sample cannot be collected immediately following purging, it is recommended to return for sampling at a later time.
- Samples at BH94 have not been obtained from the last two sampling rounds. If the previous recommendation cannot be complied with, it is recommended that a sample be collected prior to purging from a depth within the screen interval.

In response to trigger level exceedances, the following recommendations are provided:

- It is recommended that the trigger values for bacteria are revised to take into account background conditions, by applying the same methodology as in the GMMP of approximately 150% of the maximum existing concentration.
- As BH02 and BH03 are not considered to be down-gradient from the quarry, in accordance with the GMMP, no further action is required in response to the trigger level exceedances for manganese other than continued monitoring.

7.0 IMPORTANT INFORMATION

This report is based on fieldwork conducted by SNCL-WBHO, with field and laboratory results supplied to Golder for reporting. Golder relies on the information as supplied but cannot verify field procedures.

Your attention is drawn to the document titled - "Important Information Relating to this Report", which is included in Appendix D of this report. The statements presented in that document are intended to inform a reader of the report about its proper use. There are important limitations as to who can use the report and how it can be used. It is important that a reader of the report understands and has realistic expectations about those matters. The Important Information document does not alter the obligations Golder Associates has under the contract between it and its client.

8.0 REFERENCES

Golder, 2018a. *Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan, Stockyard Hill Wind Farm Quarry*. Ref. 18106354-005-R-Rev0, dated 22 October 2018.

Golder, 2019a. *August and October 2018 Groundwater and Springwater Monitoring Events Stockyard Hill Wind Farm Quarry*. Report Ref.: 1783485-018-R-Rev0, dated 13 June 2019.

Golder, 2019b. *February and May 2019 Groundwater and Springwater Monitoring Events, Stockyard Hill Wind Farm Quarry*. Report Ref.: 1783485-019-R-Rev0, dated 7 August 2019.

Lawrence, C.R., 1983. *Nitrate-rich groundwaters of Australia*. Australian Water Resources Council, Technical Paper No. 79. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.

NHMRC, 2016. *National Water Quality Management Strategy, Australian Drinking Water Guidelines, 6, 2011*. Version 3.3, Updated November 2016.

State Government of Victoria, December 1997. *State Environment Protection Policy (Groundwaters of Victoria)*, Victoria Government Gazette No S160.

State Government of Victoria, October 2018. *State Environment Protection Policy (Waters)*, Victoria Government Gazette No S499.

Signature Page

Golder Associates Pty Ltd



Tracey Main
Environmental Engineer





Stephen Makin
Senior Hydrogeologist

TLM/SLM-BED/tlm

Golder and the G logo are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation

\\golder.gds\gap\melbourne\jobs\2017\1783485 - shwf quarry wp update\correspondence out\1783485-020-r gme sept 2019\1783485-020-r-rev0 sept 2019 gme.docx

Figures



LEGEND

Monitoring Well

- Monitoring Well (off-site)
- Monitoring Well (on-site)

Groundwater location (Type)

- Bore/windmill
- Spring
- 2017 Proposed Wind Turbine Generator


Proposed quarry outline

Site boundary

Waterbody

Road Classification

- Highway
- Connector
- Road
- Unsealed Road



NOTE(S)

- ALL DATA BUT ROADS, RAILWAYS, GMS, WATER COURSES AND WATER AREAS SOURCED FROM CLIENT, RECEIVED 11/09/2012
- ROADS, RAILWAYS AND WATER AREAS SOURCED FROM STREETPRO (2004).
- WATERCOURSE DATA SOURCED FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF SUSTAINABILITY AND ENVIRONMENT (2013).
- LOCATION OF SPRINGS TAKEN FROM HYDROTERRA (2017) AND URS (2010).
- PROJECTION: GDA 1994 MGA ZONE 54

REFERENCE(S)

- STREETPRO (C) 2004 MAPINFO AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

CLIENT
STOCKYARD HILL WIND FARM PTY LTD

PROJECT
GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER MONITORING


TITLE
GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION LOCATIONS

CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2019-11-28
	DESIGNED	PM/SLM
	PREPARED	SLM
	REVIEWED	BED
	APPROVED	BED

PROJECT NO. 1783485 CONTROL R-020 REV. 0 FIGURE 1

PATH: J:\2017\1783485 - SHAVE Quarry WP\Technical\Doc\GIS\Project\1783485-020-R-Rev0-FD001.mxd PRINTED ON: 2019-11-28 AT: 5:14:25 PM

THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN ON THE SHEET. SUBJECT HAS BEEN MODIFIED FROM DISO. 25mm



- LEGEND**
- 360.9 Groundwater level (m AHD) - September 2019
 - Monitoring well
 - Monitoring well (no recent water level available)
 - Inferred groundwater elevation contours (m AHD)
 - Windmill bore
 - Spring with approximate elevation (m AHD)
 - Proposed Wind Turbine Generator
 - Proposed quarry outline
 - Site boundary
 - Waterbody

Road Classification

- Highway
- Connector
- Road
- Unsealed Road



- NOTE(S)**
1. ALL DATA BUT ROADS, RAILWAYS, GMS, WATER COURSES AND WATER AREAS SOURCED FROM CLIENT, RECEIVED 11/09/2012.
 2. ELEVATION CONTOUR DATA SUPPLIED BY CLIENT, RECEIVED 9 JANUARY 2017.
 3. ROADS, RAILWAYS AND WATER AREAS SOURCED FROM STREETPRO (2004).
 4. WATERCOURSE DATA SOURCED FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF SUSTAINABILITY AND ENVIRONMENT (2013).
 5. LOCATION OF SPRINGS TAKEN FROM HYDROTERRA (2017) AND URS (2010).
 6. AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH SOURCED FROM ESRI: SOURCE FROM ESRI BASEMAP.
 7. WATER LEVELS FROM WINDMILLS (WM PREFIX) MAY BE AFFECTED BY DRAWDOWN DUE TO PUMPING.
 8. WATER ELEVATION AT BH94 MAY BE INACCURATE DUE TO DAMAGE TO HEADWORKS.
 9. PROJECTION: GDA 1994 MGA ZONE 54

- REFERENCE(S)**
1. STREETPRO (C) 2004 MAPINFO AUSTRALIA PTY LTD

CLIENT
STOCKYARD HILL WIND FARM PTY LTD

PROJECT
GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER MONITORING

TITLE
GROUNDWATER ELEVATION - SEPTEMBER 2019

CONSULTANT	YYYY-MM-DD	2019-11-28
DESIGNED	CJS	
PREPARED	SLM	
REVIEWED	BED	
APPROVED	BED	

PROJECT NO. 1783485 CONTROL 020-R REV. 0 FIGURE 2

PATH: J:\2017\1783485 - SHAVE Quarry WP Update\Technical Data\GIS\Project\1783485_020-R_Rev0-FD002.mxd PRINTED ON: 2019-11-28 AT: 6:26:33 PM

THIS MEASUREMENT DOES NOT MATCH WHAT IS SHOWN ON THE SHEET. SUBJECTS BEEN MODIFIED FROM IS04.3

Tables

Location Code	Date	TOC elevation (m AHD)	Water Depth (mbTOC)	Water level (m AHD)	Measured Well Depth (mbTOC)	Comments
BH02	4/07/2013	382.361	25.087	357.27	35.41	
BH02	16/12/2016	382.361	24.475	357.89	35.20	
BH02	21/12/2016	382.361	24.320	358.04		
BH02	1/03/2018	382.361	24.980	357.38	35.80	
BH02	4/07/2018	382.361	25.117	357.24	34.85	
BH02	9/08/2018	382.361	25.050	357.31	35.10	
BH02	18/10/2018	382.361	25.030	357.33	35.22	
BH02	19/02/2019	382.361	25.080	357.28	35.38	
BH02	21/05/2019	382.361	25.095	357.27	35.16	
BH02	3/09/2019	382.361	24.000	358.36	35.31	
BH03	4/07/2013	384.972	23.360	361.61	36.26	
BH03	7/12/2016	384.972	21.480	363.49	35.70	
BH03	21/12/2016	384.972	21.635	363.34		
BH03	19/07/2017	384.972	23.571	361.40		
BH03	28/02/2018	384.972	24.120	360.85	35.80	
BH03	4/07/2018	384.972	25.232	359.74	36.75	
BH03	9/08/2018	384.972	25.470	359.50	35.71	
BH03	18/10/2018	384.972	25.750	359.22	35.88	
BH03	19/02/2019	384.972	26.250	358.72	35.75	
BH03	21/05/2019	384.972	26.618	358.35	33.6	
BH03	3/09/2019	384.972	22.924	362.05	36.39	
BH04	16/12/2016	368.136	11.666	356.47		
BH04	21/12/2016	368.136	11.728	356.41		
BH04	20/07/2017	368.136	12.252	355.88		
BH04	28/02/2018	368.136	12.720	355.42	18.90	
BH04	4/07/2018	368.136	13.380	354.76	18.90	
BH04	9/08/2018	368.136	13.520	354.62	18.88	
BH04	18/10/2018	368.136	13.710	354.43	19.00	
BH04	19/02/2019	368.136	14.150	353.99	18.87	
BH04	21/05/2019	368.136	14.386	353.75	19.05	
BH04	3/09/2019	368.136	11.596	356.54	18.988	
BH05	16/12/2016	361.478	15.419	346.06		
BH05	21/12/2016	361.478	15.378	346.10		
BH05	20/07/2017	361.478	15.234	346.24		
BH05	1/03/2018	361.478	15.670	345.81	20.31	
BH05	4/07/2018	361.478	14.463	347.02	20.56	
BH05	9/08/2018	361.478	15.550	345.93	20.55	
BH05	18/10/2018	361.478	15.580	345.90	20.71	
BH05	19/02/2019	361.478	15.780	345.70	20.65	
BH05	21/05/2019	361.478	16.025	345.45	20.5	
BH05	3/09/2019	361.478	15.254	346.22	20.55	
BH06	16/12/2016	363.774	14.004	349.77		
BH06	21/12/2016	363.774	14.102	349.67		
BH06	19/07/2017	363.774	15.077	348.70		
BH06	2/03/2018	363.774	15.130	348.64	22.80	
BH06	4/07/2018	363.774	15.374	348.40	23.12	
BH06	9/08/2018	363.774	15.400	348.37	23.23	
BH06	18/10/2018	363.774	15.470	348.30	23.40	
BH06	19/02/2019	363.774	15.720	348.05	23.27	
BH06	21/05/2019	363.774	15.755	348.02	23.1	
BH06	3/09/2019	363.774	13.220	350.55	23.31	
BH94	8/10/2012	361.250	13.080	348.17		
BH94	7/12/2016	361.250	12.580	348.67	15.10	Well casing broken, no cap
BH94	21/12/2016	362.155	13.530	348.63		Well casing and cap replaced
BH94	20/07/2017	362.155	13.750	348.41		Well casing broken and again replaced
BH94	1/03/2018	362.155	13.980	348.18	14.80	
BH94	4/07/2018	362.155	15.246	346.91	16.01	
BH94	9/08/2018	362.221	15.060	347.16	16.02	Well casing repaired and resurveyed
BH94	18/10/2018	362.221	15.190	347.03	16.05	
BH94	19/02/2019	362.221	15.520	346.70	16.20	
BH94	21/05/2019	362.155	15.292	346.93	16	
BH94	3/09/2019	362.155	12.920	349.30	16.03	

Location Code	Date	TOC elevation (m AHD)	Water Depth (mbTOC)	Water level (m AHD)	Measured Well Depth (mbTOC)	Comments
WM01	14/12/2016	368.937	13.080	355.86		Windmill not spinning
WM01	21/12/2016	368.937	13.555	355.38		Windmill spinning slowly
WM01	19/07/2017	368.937	15.350	353.59		Windy
WM01	2/03/2018	368.937	15.230	353.71		Light wind
WM01	4/07/2018	368.937	16.360	352.58		
WM01	9/08/2018	368.937	15.240	353.70		Light-moderate wind
WM01	18/10/2018	368.937	15.490	353.45		Moderate-gusty wind
WM01	19/02/2019	368.937	15.380	353.56		
WM01	21/05/2019	368.937	14.475	354.46		Windmill not spinning
WM01	3/09/2019	368.937	11.223	357.71		
WM07	16/12/2016	390.699	30.005	360.69	56.50	Windmill spinning slowly
WM07	19/07/2017	390.699	30.820	359.88		Windy
WM07	1/03/2018	390.699	30.340	360.36		Windy
WM07	4/07/2018	390.699	32.200	358.50		
WM07	9/08/2018	390.699	31.470	359.23		Light-moderate wind
WM07	18/10/2018	390.699	5.620	385.08		Result considered to be unreliable
WM07	19/02/2019	390.699	30.750	359.95		
WM07	21/05/2019	390.699	30.812	359.89		Windmill not spinning
WM07	3/09/2019	390.699	29.541	361.16		

mbTOC - metres below top of casing

m AHD - metres above Australian Height Datum

Water Levels from windmills (WM prefix) may be affected by drawdown due to pumping

Type	Location	Date	Dissolved Oxygen (Field)	Electrolytic Conductivity (Field)	pH (Field)	Redox Potential (Field)	Temp (Field)	Description
			mg/L	uS/cm	pH_Units	mV	°C	
GMMP- Groundwater Quality Trigger Levels					4.9-8.5			
Bore	BH01	2013-07-10	7.6	660	7.6	149	14	Pale brown, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH02	2013-07-04	4.3	1450	7.7	121	11	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH02	2018-03-01	0.19	2171	6.49	57.8	17.4	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH02	2019-02-21	5.56	2302	6.89	-21.7	16.6	Clear, colourless
Bore	BH02	2019-09-05	12.13	2390	7.24	-115.8	11.6	-
Bore	BH03	2013-07-04	7.5	1110	7.8	110	11	Pale brown, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH03	2017-07-19	6.07	972	5.36	216.6	14.6	Pale brown, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH03	2018-02-28	2.07	1539	7.41	179.3	17.4	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH03	2018-08-10	10.02	1096	7.85	102.1	16.2	Pale brown/clear, low turbidity
Bore	BH03	2019-09-05	10.19	1120	8.14	-197.5	13.8	Slightly cloudy, light brown
Bore	BH04	2017-07-20	4.74	467.4	7.06	107.5	14.1	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH04	2018-02-28	3.94	754	7.2	163.2	17.5	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH04	2018-08-10	8.85	492.5	7.26	113.3	15.7	Clear
Bore	BH04	2019-02-21	6.88	618	7.21	95.6	17.3	Clear, colourless
Bore	BH04	2019-09-05	9.8	720	7.41	36	16.1	Clear, colourless
Bore	BH05	2017-07-20	5.74	727	6.14	202.9	11.7	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH05	2018-03-01	4.92	747	6.58	148.6	16.2	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH05	2018-08-10	5.51	870	7.54	94.7	16.3	Cloudy, pale brown
Bore	BH05	2019-02-21	8.53	1114	7.42	106.9	15.6	Clear, colourless
Bore	BH05	2019-09-05	4.74	1240	7.22	51.7	14.7	Clear, colourless

Type	Location	Date	Dissolved Oxygen (Field)	Electrolytic Conductivity (Field)	pH (Field)	Redox Potential (Field)	Temp (Field)	Description
			mg/L	uS/cm	pH_Units	mV	°C	
GMMP- Groundwater Quality Trigger Levels					4.9-8.5			
Bore	BH06	2017-07-19	3.1	348.6	5.42	212.3	12	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH06	2018-03-02	8.17	602	7.67	128.3	16.9	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH06	2018-08-10	10.17	426.6	7.34	101.7	15.4	Clear
Bore	BH06	2019-02-21	12.13	534.1	6.82	112.7	16.4	Clear, colourless
Bore	BH06	2019-09-05	8.6	620	7.47	44.6	16.5	Clear, colourless
Bore	BH94	2017-07-20	3.38	409.2	6.27	-73.2	9.9	Pale grey, low turbidity, no odour
Bore	BH94	2018-03-01	8.78	1174	6.73	142	15.2	Grey, medium tubidity, no odour
GMMP- Spring Water Quality Trigger Levels					6.3-8.5			
Spring	Bain's Spring	2017-07-19	5.41	346.3	7.19	60.1	11.2	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Bain's Spring	2018-02-28	12.17	562.8	8.72	184.2	18.5	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Bain's Spring	2018-10-19	21.34	505	7.67	67.4	16.4	Algal content, slightly cloudy
Spring	Bain's Spring	2019-02-21	10.56	498	5.82	98.9	18.5	Yellow/green, suspended algal, turbid
Spring	Bain's Spring	2019-09-05	10.55	511	6.37	42.5	16	Clear with surface algae
Spring	Mawallock Home Spring	2017-07-19	6.12	470.1	7.02	115.4	15.1	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Mawallock Home Spring	2018-03-01	5.66	775	7.07	102.3	15.6	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Meallack Spring	2017-07-20	5.22	494.7	6.83	125.8	13.5	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Meallack Spring	2018-03-01	11.36	103.3	7.54	176.2	19.5	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Meallack Spring	2018-10-19	8.9	648	6.54	84.3	13.5	Clear, flowing, colourless
Spring	Meallack Spring	2019-02-21	6.13	703	7.29	110	17.6	Clear, colourless
Spring	Meallack Spring	2019-09-05	6.03	660	5.42	-10.8	15.5	Clear, flowing
Spring	Stoneleigh's Main Spring	2017-07-20	5.66	396.2	6.77	144.2	14	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Stoneleigh's Main Spring	2018-03-01	8.59	703	7.62	135.3	17	Cloudy, slightly green, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Wangatta Spring	2017-07-19	7.8	496.1	7.33	155.9	14.5	Clear, low turbidity, no odour
Spring	Wangatta Spring	2018-02-28	10.42	139	8.04	558	22.1	Clear, low turbidity, no odour

	pH	Major Ions											Nutrients							Biological						
		pH (Lab)	Total Dissolved Solids @180°C	Sodium	Potassium	Calcium	Magnesium	Chloride	Sulphate (as SO4)	Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	Hydroxide Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	Nitrate (as N)	Nitrite (as N)	Nitrogen (Total Oxidised)	Ammonia (as N)	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	Nitrogen (Organic)	Nitrogen (Total)	E. coli	Enterococci	Biological Oxygen Demand			
																								mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
EQL	0.01	10	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	5	1	1	1	1	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.1	0.2	0.2	1	1	5				
GMMP- Spring Water Quality Trigger Levels	6.3-8.5	600	150	1000	2000	150	250						50	0.9	0.74		50			1	1					
Type	Location Co	Location Description	Date	Field ID																						
Spring	BAIN	Bain Spring	2017-07-19	BAIN/50200717	-	310	52	1.9	18	21	50	7.2	110	<10	<10	110	29	0.03	29	<0.01	2.8	2.8	32	-	-	-
Spring	BAIN	Bain Spring	2018-02-28	BAIN/50280218	8	320	63	2.4	16	23	59	6.3	100	<10	<10	100	28	0.13	29	0.4	3.1	-	32	-	-	5.3
Spring	BAIN	Bain Spring	2018-03-01	BAIN/50010318	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	390	>2,400	-	
Spring	BAIN	Bain Spring	2018-10-19	BS01	8.3	310	68	2.2	20	24	75	11	120	<10	<20	120	23	0.1	23	0.12	1.6	1.5	25	53	58	<5
Spring	BAIN	Bain Spring	2019-02-21	BS01	8.2	440	74	2.3	20	28	53	9.3	97	<10	<20	97	23	0.05	23	<0.01	4.4	4.4	27	82	5	<5
Spring	BAIN	Bain Spring	2019-09-05	BS01	8.2	320	87	2.1	16	20	46	8	82	<10	<20	82	25	<0.02	25	<0.01	2.1	2.1	27.1	110	12	<5
Spring	SH	Mawallock Spring	2017-07-19	SH/50190717	-	360	69	2.1	22	26	85	13	120	<10	<10	120	24	<0.02	24	<0.01	2.1	2.1	26	-	-	-
Spring	SH	Mawallock Spring	2018-02-28	SH/50280218	7.7	430	79	2.8	20	28	100	13	120	<10	<10	120	26	<0.02	26	0.42	1.6	-	28	-	-	<5
Spring	SH	Mawallock Spring	2018-03-01	SH/50010318	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	980	690	-	
Spring	STONE1	Meallack Spring	2017-07-20	STONE1/50200	-	410	80	2.1	21	26	100	16	170	<10	<10	170	20	<0.02	20	0.02	0.8	0.8	21	-	-	-
Spring	STONE1	Meallack Spring	2018-03-01	STONE1/60010	7.5	450	95	2.5	24	32	130	17	160	<10	<10	160	20	0.14	20	<0.01	3.8	-	24	-	-	20
Spring	STONE1	Meallack Spring	2018-03-02	STONE1/60020	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2100	28	-	
Spring	STONE1	Meallack Spring	2018-10-19	MES01	8.1	450	97	2.2	23	30	100	17	180	<10	<20	180	19	<0.02	19	<0.01	<0.2	<0.2	19	<1	<1	<5
Spring	STONE1	Meallack Spring	2019-02-21	MS01	7.9	500	150	3.4	28	48	110	17	130	<10	<20	130	18	<0.02	18	<0.01	5.6	5.5	23	<1	980	<5
Spring	STONE1	Meallack Spring	2019-09-05	MS01	8.1	390	84	2.1	16	23	74	15	110	<10	<20	110	25	<0.02	25	<0.01	1.3	1.3	26.3	1	1	<5
Spring	STONE2	Stoneleigh's Main Sprin	2017-07-20	STONE2/50200	-	340	84	3.4	11	28	87	12	190	18	<10	200	8.2	0.11	8.4	0.03	1.2	1.2	10	-	-	-
Spring	STONE2	Stoneleigh's Main Sprin	2018-03-01	STONE2/60010	8.1	420	90	2.3	22	30	83	11	170	<10	<10	170	23	<0.02	23	<0.01	23	-	46	-	-	<5
Spring	STONE2	Stoneleigh's Main Sprin	2018-03-02	STONE/600203	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1100	440	-	
Spring	WG	Wangatta Spring	2017-07-19	WG/50190717	-	350	70	2.3	22	27	85	12	150	<10	<10	150	22	<0.02	23	0.02	2.4	2.4	25	-	-	-
Spring	WG	Wangatta Spring	2018-02-28	WG/50280218	8	390	76	2.6	19	27	89	9.6	140	<10	<10	140	25	<0.02	25	<0.01	1.7	-	27	-	-	<5
Spring	WG	Wangatta Spring	2018-03-01	WG/50010318	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	19	-	

		SDG		6-Sep-19	6-Sep-19	6-Sep-19		EM1914767	
		Field ID	QC1	RPD	BH04	QC2	RPD		
Sampled Date/Time		5/09/2019	5/09/2019		5/09/2019	5/09/2019			
Chem_Group	ChemName	Units	EQL						
Microbiological	E. coli	cfu/100 ml	1	<1	<1	0	<1	-	-
	Enterococci	-	1	3	3	0	3	-	-
Heavy Metals	Iron (Filtered)	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.05	0
	Manganese (Filtered)	mg/l	0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0	<0.005	0.001	133
MAH	Benzene	mg/l	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0	<0.001	<0.001	0
	Toluene	mg/l	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0	<0.001	<0.002	0
	Ethylbenzene	mg/l	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0	<0.001	<0.002	0
	Xylenes (m & p)	mg/l	0.002	<0.002	<0.002	0	<0.002	<0.002	0
	Xylene (o)	mg/l	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0	<0.001	<0.002	0
	Xylenes (Sum of total) (Lab Reported)	mg/l	0.003	<0.003	<0.003	0	<0.003	<0.002	0
PAH	Naphthalene	mg/l	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0	<0.01	<0.005	0
Sample Quality Parameters	Nitrate + Nitrite (as N)	mg/l	0.05	31	31	0	31	30	3
	pH (Lab)	pH Units	0.1	8.2	8.2	0	8.2	7.86	4
	Total Dissolved Solids @180°C	mg/l	10	440	440	0	440	466	6
	Sodium	mg/l	0.5	80	78	3	80	77	4
	Potassium	mg/l	0.5	2.6	2.6	0	2.6	3	14
	Calcium	mg/l	0.5	20	20	0	20	16	22
	Magnesium	mg/l	0.5	25	25	0	25	23	8
	Chloride	mg/l	1	58	59	2	58	63	8
	Sulphate (as SO4)	mg/l	5	12	12	0	12	11	9
	Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/l	20	170	160	6	170	162	5
	Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/l	10	<10	<10	0	<10	<1	0
	Hydroxide Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/l	20	<20	<20	0	<20	<1	0
	Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/l	20	170	160	6	170	162	5
	Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	0.02	31	31	0	31	30.3	2
	Nitrite (as N)	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	0.01	0
	Ammonia (as N)	mg/l	0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0	<0.01	0.03	100
	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	mg/l	0.2	1.8	3.1	53	1.8	1.4	25
Nitrogen (Organic)	mg/l	0.2	1.8	3.1	53	1.8	1.4	25	
Nitrogen (Total)	mg/l	0.2	32.8	34.1	4	32.8	31.7	3	
Biological Oxygen Demand	mg/l	5	<5	<5	0	<5	-	-	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	TRH C6 - C9 Fraction	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	<0.02	0
	TRH C10 - C14 Fraction	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.05	0
	TRH C15 - C28 Fraction	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	<0.1	0
	TRH C29 - C36 Fraction	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	<0.05	0
	TRH+C10 - C36 (Sum of total) (Lab Reported)	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	<0.05	0
	TRH+C10 - C40 (Sum of total) (Lab Reported)	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	<0.1	0
	TRH C6 - C10 Fraction F1	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	<0.02	0
	TRH C6 - C10 Fraction Less BTEX F1	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	<0.02	0	<0.02	<0.02	0
	TRH >C10 - C16 Fraction F2	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.1	0
	TRH >C10 - C16 Fraction Less Naphthalene F2	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0	<0.05	<0.1	0
	TRH >C16 - C34 Fraction F3	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	<0.1	0
TRH >C34 - C40 Fraction F4	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	<0.1	<0.1	0	

*RPDs have only been considered where a concentration is greater than 1 times the EQL.

**High RPDs are in bold (Acceptable RPDs for each EQL multiplier range are: 50 (1-10 x EQL); 50 (10-30 x EQL); 50 (> 30 x EQL))

***Interlab Duplicates are matched on a per compound basis as methods vary between laboratories. Any methods in the row header relate to those used in the primary laboratory

SDG	6-Sep-19	6-Sep-19
Field ID	QC3	QC4
Sampled_Date/Time	5/09/2019	5/09/2019
Sample Type	Field_B	Rinsate

Chem_Group	ChemName	Units	EQL		
Heavy Metals	Iron (Filtered)	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	Manganese (Filtered)	mg/l	0.005	<0.005	<0.005
MAH	Benzene	mg/l	0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Toluene	mg/l	0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Ethylbenzene	mg/l	0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Xylenes (m & p)	mg/l	0.002	<0.002	<0.002
	Xylene (o)	mg/l	0.001	<0.001	<0.001
	Xylenes (Sum of total) (Lab Reported)	mg/l	0.003	<0.003	<0.003
PAH	Naphthalene	mg/l	0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Sample Quality	Nitrate + Nitrite (as N)	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	0.12
	Sodium	mg/L	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	Potassium	mg/l	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	Calcium	mg/L	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	Magnesium	mg/l	0.5	<0.5	<0.5
	Chloride	mg/L	1	<1	<1
	Sulphate (as SO4)	mg/l	5	<5	<5
	Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/l	20	<20	<20
	Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/l	10	<10	<10
	Hydroxide Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/l	20	<20	<20
	Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/l	20	<20	<20
	Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	0.12
	Nitrite (as N)	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	<0.02
	Ammonia (as N)	mg/L	0.01	<0.01	<0.01
	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	mg/l	0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Nitrogen (Organic)	mg/l	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
Nitrogen (Total)	mg/l	0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	TRH C6 - C9 Fraction	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	<0.02
	TRH C10 - C14 Fraction	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	TRH C15 - C28 Fraction	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
	TRH C29 - C36 Fraction	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
	TRH+C10 - C36 (Sum of total) (Lab Reported)	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
	TRH+C10 - C40 (Sum of total) (Lab Reported)	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1
	TRH C6 - C10 Fraction F1	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	<0.02
	TRH C6 - C10 Fraction Less BTEX F1	mg/l	0.02	<0.02	<0.02
	TRH >C10 - C16 Fraction F2	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	TRH >C10 - C16 Fraction Less Naphthalene F2	mg/l	0.05	<0.05	<0.05
TRH >C16 - C34 Fraction F3	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
TRH >C34 - C40 Fraction F4	mg/l	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	

APPENDIX A

Field Records

GROUNDWATER SYNOPTIC DIP



SNC-LAVALIN

ATKINS

Member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

PROJECT NUMBER:	140389	WEATHER:	FINE
SITE NAME:	SHWF	METER:	
SAMPLING AREA:	QUARRY		HDT 1002A
SAMPLING ID(s):	AS LABELED		(S/N 27467)
SCIENTIST(S):	KW/VC		
DATE:	3/9/2019		
TIME:	15:14-17:10		

GROUNDWATER GAUGING DATA

TIME	WELL ID	DTW (mbtoc)	DTB (mbtoc)	TIME	WELL ID	DTW (mbtoc)	DTB (mbtoc)
15:14	BH03	22-924	36-39				
15:27	WM07 ⁺	11-223					
15:46	BH04	11-596	18-988				
15:56	BH05	15-254	20-55				
16:13	BH04	12-920	16-03				
16:19	BH06	13-220	23-31				
16:42	WM07 ⁺	29-541					
16:52	BH02	24-000	35-31				
17:10	BH03	22-924					

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
+ mild w/mill action.

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG



PROJECT NUMBER:	140389	SAMPLE RECOVERY METHOD:	—
SITE NAME:	SHWF	COLLAR ELEVATION (m AHD):	
SAMPLING AREA:	QUARRY	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (mbtoc / mbgl):	—
SAMPLING LOCATION ID:	BH94	STANDING WATER LEVEL (m AHD)	
SCIENTIST(S):	KW	RECOVERY DEPTH (mbtoc / mbgl):	—
DATE:	—	DEPTH TO BASE: (mbtoc / mbgl):	—
TIME:	—	SAMPLE STORAGE / PRESERVATION:	—
QA/QC SAMPLE IDs:			

GROUNDWATER STABILISATION DATA

TIME (Mins)	APPEARANCE	COLOUR	TEMP (°C)	pH	E.C. (µs/cm)	REDOX (mV)	D.O. (ppm)
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
		FINAL STABILITY	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

PURGE RATE (Litres/Min):	
SAMPLING RATE (Litres/Min):	
0.45 MICRON FILTRATION USED (Y/N):	

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

EASTING:		NORTHING:	
----------	--	-----------	--

GROUNDWATER MONITOR WELL PURGE RECORD



ATKINS

Member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

PROJECT NUMBER:	140389	PURGE METHOD:	FOOT VALVE
SITE NAME:	SHWF	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (mbtoc / mbg):	12.92
SAMPLING AREA:	QUARRY	DEPTH TO BASE: (mbtoc / mbg):	16.03
MONITOR WELL ID:	BH94	DISPOSAL OF GROUNDWATER	
SCIENTIST(S):	KW	RECOVERY DEPTH ~ 15mbtoc	
DATE INSTALLED			
DATE DEVELOPED			
4/9/19			

GROUNDWATER PURGING DATA

TIME (Mins)	APPEARANCE	COLOUR	TEMP (°C)	pH	E.C. (µs/cm)	Volume Removed - cumulative in L
12:52	CLEAR	COULGEE		COMMENCED		FOOT VALVE FLOW
13:18	"	"				15 L.
13:25	DTW 12.969 mbtoc					

PURGE RATE (Litres/Min): 0.58 L/min

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

EASTING: NORTHING:

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING LOG



ATKINS
Member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

PROJECT NUMBER:	140389	SAMPLE RECOVERY METHOD:	LOW FLOW/BLADDER
SITE NAME:	SHWF	COLLAR ELEVATION (m AHD):	
SAMPLING AREA:	QUARRY	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (mbtoc / mbg):	24.00
SAMPLING LOCATION ID:	BH02	STANDING WATER LEVEL (m AHD)	3
SCIENTIST(S):	KW	RECOVERY DEPTH (mbtoc / mbg):	~32 mbto c
DATE:	5/9/19	DEPTH TO BASE: (mbtoc / mbg):	35.31
TIME:		SAMPLE STORAGE / PRESERVATION:	ICE/BRICKS
QA/QC SAMPLE IDs:			

GROUNDWATER STABILISATION DATA

TIME (Mins)	APPEARANCE	COLOUR	TEMP (°C)	pH	E.C. (µs/cm)	REDOX (mV)	D.O. (ppm)
10:11	COMMENCED	LOW-FLOW	PUMPING				
10:32	STEADY FLOW	ACHIEVED.					
13:18	~10L ACCUMULATED TO ~12:30. FLOW HAD CEASED SOME TIME EARLIER.						
<u>FOOT VALVE</u>							
14:04			11.6	7.24	2.39	-115.8	12.13
Flow stopped. Depth checked. DTL 31+							
No sample could be extracted							
samples taken from bucket of extracted GW.							
FINAL STABILITY			11.05	7.24	2.39	-115.8	12.13

PURGE RATE (Litres/Min):	0.08 L/min
SAMPLING RATE (Litres/Min):	0.25 L/min
0.45 MICRON FILTRATION USED (Y/N):	Y (DISSOLVED METALS)

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
STEADY/EFFICIENT FLOW ON 30/15 CYCLE - DRAW DOWN TO DRYNESS ~10L

EASTING:		NORTHING:	
----------	--	-----------	--

GROUNDWATER MONITOR WELL PURGE RECORD



SNC • LAVALIN

ATKINS

Member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

PROJECT NUMBER:		PURGE METHOD:	
SITE NAME:		DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (mbtoc / mbgl):	
SAMPLING AREA:		DEPTH TO BASE: (mbtoc / mbgl):	
MONITOR WELL ID:		DISPOSAL OF GROUNDWATER	
SCIENTIST(S):			
DATE INSTALLED			
DATE DEVELOPED			

GROUNDWATER PURGING DATA

TIME (Mins)	APPEARANCE	COLOUR	TEMP (°C)	pH	E.C. (µs/cm)	Volume Removed - cumulative in L
	PURGED WITH LOW-FLOW ASSEMBLY (SEE OVER)					

PURGE RATE (Litres/Min): _____

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

EASTING:	_____	NORTHING:	_____
----------	-------	-----------	-------

GROUNDWATER MONITOR WELL PURGE RECORD



ATKINS
Member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

PROJECT NUMBER:	140389	PURGE METHOD:	DC PUMP
SITE NAME:	SHWF	DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER (mbtoc / mbtgl):	11.60
SAMPLING AREA:	QUARRY	DEPTH TO BASE: (mbtoc / mbtgl):	18.98
MONITOR WELL ID:	BW/04	DISPOSAL OF GROUNDWATER	
SCIENTIST(S):	KW	RECOVERY DEPTH ~ 17mbtoc	
DATE INSTALLED			
DATE DEVELOPED			
4/9/19.			

GROUNDWATER PURGING DATA

TIME (Mins)	APPEARANCE	COLOUR	TEMP (°C)	pH	E.C. (µs/cm)	Volume Removed - cumulative in L
10:09	CLEAR	COL'LESS	GEO SUB FLOW @ 25% COMMENCED			
10:14	" "	" "				42L+
10:16	RE-START	FLOW				
10:20						62L
10:25	WA METER NOT SWITCHING ON					84L+
10:46	DTW 11.625 mbtoc					

PURGE RATE (Litres/Min): $84/16 = 5.25 \text{ L/min}$

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

EASTING:		NORTHING:	
----------	--	-----------	--

SURFACE WATER SAMPLING LOG



ATKINS
Member of the SNC-Lavalin Group

PROJECT NUMBER:	140389	DATE:	5/9/19
SITE NAME:	SHWF	TIME:	
SAMPLING AREA:	SPRINGS	SAMPLE RECOVERY METHOD:	DIRECT BOTTLE
SAMPLING LOCATION:	AS SHOWN	RECOVERY DEPTH (m):	0-0.1m
SCIENTIST(S):	KW	SAMPLE STORAGE / PRESERVATION:	ICE/BRIKES
QA/QC SAMPLE IDs:	QCA (USED GLOVE)		

SURFACE WATER SAMPLING DATA *See*

~12:40
~17:30

SAMPLE ID	DESCRIPTION (Colour, Turbidity, Odour etc)	TEMP (°C)	pH	E.C. (µs/cm)	REDOX (mV)	D.O. (ppm)
BS02	CLEAR w ^{SURFACE} ALGAE	16.0	6.37	0.5108	42.5	10.55
MS01	CLEAR, FLOWING	15.5	5.42	0.66	-10.8	6.03

0.45 MICRON FILTRATION USED (Y/N): Y (DISSOLVED METALS)

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:
RINSATE = QCA (USED GLOVE)
BS01 SAMPLES CONTAIN ALGAE AND BIOTA

EASTING: NORTHING:

APPENDIX B

Instrument Calibration Records



Tel: +61 8 9328 2900
fax: +61 8 9328 2677
eco@ecoenvironmental.com.au
www.ecoenvironmental.com.au
214 Lord St Perth WA 6000

Equipment Information

Instrument: HDT1002A
Serial Number: 27467

Equipment Check

	Enclosed	Returned	Comment
Heron Water Level Meter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Heron Carry Bag	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Spare 9V Battery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Inspection Details

	Pass	Comment
De-con wash of tape (100m)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
De-con wash of reel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
Inspection for faults, corrosion, damage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____
Meter in good working order, clean and ready for use	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	_____

This is to certify that where possible, this instrument has been cleaned in accordance with the manufacturer's general maintenance procedure as recommended in the instrument service manual.

Regards

Dave McGrav 7/6/19

Equipment Specialist
ECO Environmental

*Checked 13/8/19
om*



Equipment Information

Instrument:

GSUB1A – Geotech SS GeoSub

Serial Numbers:

#04A0069 (Controller)

#S12044447 (Inverter)

Equipment Check

	Enclosed	Returned	Comment
Stainless Steel Pump - on Reel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Pump Controller	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
12VDC to 230VAC Inverter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Power cable - Controller to Reel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Power cable - Inverter to Controller	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Manual	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Carry Case	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Inspection Details

	Pass	Fail	Comment
De-con wash of reel, cable(60m) and SS pump	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
De-con wash of Carry case	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Inspection for faults, corrosion, damage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Unit in good working order, clean & ready for use	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

This is to certify that where possible, this instrument has been cleaned in accordance with the manufacturer's general maintenance procedure as recommended in the instrument service manual.

Regards

One Month

Equipment Specialist
ECO Environmental

*checked DM
13/8/11*



Equipment Information

Instrument: LFKIT5A
Serial Number: # 1565 (Controller)
846 (Bladder Pump)

Equipment Check

	Enclosed	Returned	Comment
GeoControl Pro Controller	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
12v Portable Battery & Charger	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Car Battery Adapter (Red & Black)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Power Supply Cable	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
SS Bladder Pump, Loop and Quick Link	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Carry Case	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Tubing Cutter	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Laminated Field Sheet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Stainless Steel Cable on Reel (60m)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Carry Bag for Stainless Steel Cable	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Additional Items Enclosed

	Enclosed	Returned	Comment
5 x O-rings (6)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2 x bladder compression rings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Inspection Details

	Pass	Fail	Comment
Check tubing inlet connection is present	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
De-con wash of bladder pump	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
De-con wash of controller, battery & carry case	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
De-con wash of stainless steel cable and reel	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Inspection for faults, corrosion, damage	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Unit in good working order, clean and ready for use	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

This is to certify that where possible, this instrument has been cleaned in accordance with the manufacturer's general maintenance procedure as recommended in the instrument service manual.

Regards

Equipment Specialist
ECO Environmental

checked
DM 13/8/19



Tel: +61 8 9328 2900
 fax: +61 8 9328 2677
 eco@ecoenvironmental.com.au
 www.ecoenvironmental.com.au
 214 Lord St Perth WA 6000

Equipment Information

Instrument: 12Volt Battery Pack : BATTERY 1

Equipment Check

	Enclosed	Returned	Comment
Battery Pack	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Battery Isolator Key	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
12V Battery	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Wall charger	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Inspection Details

	Pass	Fail	Comment
Decon wash of Battery Pack	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Inspection for faults, corrosion, damage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
Unit in good working order, clean and ready for use	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

This is to certify that where possible, this instrument has been calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's calibration procedure as recommended in the instrument service manual.

Regards

Handwritten signature: Dave McGraw 13/8/19

Equipment Specialist
 ECO Environmental



Equipment Information

Instrument YSIPP9A
 Serial Number 11J100667 (Display)
 13E100767 (Sonde)

Equipment Check

	Included	Returned	Comment
YSI Pro Plus Display	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
YSI Quatro Sonde	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
- YSI 1001 pH Probe	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
- YSI 1002 ORP Probe	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
- YSI 5560 Cond/Temp Probe	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
- YSI Polarographic DO Sensor	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Flow Cell & Attachments (x2)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Probe Guard	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Rubber Storage/Calibration Sleeve	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Calibration Cup + Cap	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
YSI Cable Management Kit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
YSI Pro Series ProComm II Kit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
User Manual + Flow Cell Manual + CD-Rom	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Spare Batteries (x 2) & Screwdriver	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Laminated Quick Start Guide	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Sensor Calibration Details

	Calibration Undertaken	Accuracy	Pass	Fail
Temperature	Factory Calibrated	±0.2°C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dissolved Oxygen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 100% Saturation	±2%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Pressure Compensation	±0.4 hPa	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conductivity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 12.88mS/cm	±0.5%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check linearity at 1.413mS/cm	±0.5%	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Salinity	Auto Calibrated	±1‰	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
pH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> pH 7.00	±0.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> pH 4.00	±0.2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ORP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 240 mV at 18°C	±20mV	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

This is to certify that where possible, this instrument has been calibrated in accordance with the manufacturer's calibration procedure as recommended in the instrument service manual.

ECO Standard Rental Terms & Conditions apply to all equipment calibrations

Regards

Steve McArthur 12/01/19

Equipment Specialist
 ECO Environmental

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Reports

Melbourne

6 Monterey Road
Dandenong South Vic 3175
Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
NATA # 1261
Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney

Unit F3, Building F
16 Mars Road
Lane Cove West NSW 2066
Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane

1/21 Smallwood Place
Murarrie QLD 4172
Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth

2/91 Leach Highway
Kewdale WA 6105
Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
NATA # 1261 Site # 23736

Sample Receipt Advice

Company name: **SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure JV**
Contact name: Kelvin Webb
Project name: STOCKYARD HILL WIND FARM - SPRINGS
Project ID: 140389
COC number: Not provided
Turn around time: 5 Day
Date/Time received: Sep 6, 2019 7:03 PM
Eurofins reference: **675691**

Sample information

- A detailed list of analytes logged into our LIMS, is included in the attached summary table.
- All samples have been received as described on the above COC.
- COC has been completed correctly.
- Attempt to chill was evident.
- Appropriately preserved sample containers have been used.
- All samples were received in good condition.
- Samples have been provided with adequate time to commence analysis in accordance with the relevant holding times.

- Appropriate sample containers have been used.
- Sample containers for volatile analysis received with zero headspace.
- Split sample sent to requested external lab.
- Some samples have been subcontracted.
- N/A Custody Seals intact (if used).

Contact notes

If you have any questions with respect to these samples please contact:

Ursula Long on Phone : or by e.mail: UrsulaLong@eurofins.com

Results will be delivered electronically via e.mail to Kelvin Webb - Kelvin.Webb@snclavalin.com.

Note: A copy of these results will also be delivered to the general SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure JV email address.

Company Name: SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure JV	Order No.: 3AUC003-PO-0256	Received: Sep 6, 2019 7:03 PM
Address: PO Box 7678 Cloisters Square PO WA 6850	Report #: 675691	Due: Sep 13, 2019
Project Name: STOCKYARD HILL WIND FARM - SPRINGS	Phone: 8 9442 2555	Priority: 5 Day
Project ID: 140389	Fax:	Contact Name: Kelvin Webb

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Long

Sample Detail						Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	E.coli	Enterococci	Iron (filtered)	Manganese (filtered)	pH (at 25°C)	Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	Nitrogens (speciated)	Eurofins mgt Suite B1	Eurofins mgt Suite B11E: Cl/SO4/Alkalinity	Eurofins mgt Suite B11C: Na/K/Ca/Mg	
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																	
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794																	
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																	
External Laboratory																	
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matrix	LAB ID												
1	BH02	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10326	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2	BH03	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10327	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3	BH04	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10328	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
4	BH05	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10329	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
5	BH06	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10330	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6	MS01	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10331	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
7	BS01	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10332	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
8	QC1	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10333	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
9	QC3	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10334				X	X			X	X	X	X	X



Environment Testing

ABN – 50 005 085 521
 e.mail : EnviroSales@eurofins.com
 web : www.eurofins.com.au

Melbourne
 6 Monterey Road
 Dandenong South VIC 3175
 Phone : +61 3 8564 5000
 NATA # 1261
 Site # 1254 & 14271

Sydney
 Unit F3, Building F
 16 Mars Road
 Lane Cove West NSW 2066
 Phone : +61 2 9900 8400
 NATA # 1261 Site # 18217

Brisbane
 1/21 Smallwood Place
 Murarrie QLD 4172
 Phone : +61 7 3902 4600
 NATA # 1261 Site # 20794

Perth
 2/91 Leach Highway
 Kewdale WA 6105
 Phone : +61 8 9251 9600
 NATA # 1261
 Site # 23736

Company Name:	SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure JV	Order No.:	3AUC003-PO-0256	Received:	Sep 6, 2019 7:03 PM
Address:	PO Box 7678 Cloisters Square PO WA 6850	Report #:	675691	Due:	Sep 13, 2019
Project Name:	STOCKYARD HILL WIND FARM - SPRINGS	Phone:	8 9442 2555	Priority:	5 Day
Project ID:	140389	Fax:		Contact Name:	Kelvin Webb

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Long

Sample Detail				Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	E.coli	Enterococci	Iron (filtered)	Manganese (filtered)	pH (at 25°C)	Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	Nitrogens (speciated)	Eurofins mgt Suite B1	Eurofins mgt Suite B11E: Cl/SO4/Alkalinity	Eurofins mgt Suite B11C: Na/K/Ca/Mg
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217														
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794														
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736														
10	QC4	Sep 05, 2019	Water	M19-Se10335			X	X			X	X	X	X
Test Counts				8	8	8	10	10	8	8	10	10	10	10

SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure JV
 PO Box 7678
 Cloisters Square PO
 WA 6850



NATA Accredited
 Accreditation Number 1261
 Site Number 1254 & 14271

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 – Testing
 The results of the tests, calibrations and/or
 measurements included in this document are traceable
 to Australian/national standards.

Attention: **Kelvin Webb**

Report **675691-W**
 Project name **STOCKYARD HILL WIND FARM - SPRINGS**
 Project ID **140389**
 Received Date **Sep 06, 2019**

Client Sample ID			M01 BH02	M01 BH03	M01 BH04	M01 BH05
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-Se10326	M19-Se10327	M19-Se10328	M19-Se10329
Date Sampled			Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
BTEX						
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	99	95	95	93
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Ammonia (as N)	0.01	mg/L	0.07	0.05	< 0.01	< 0.01
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	5	mg/L	13	< 5	< 5	5.8
Chloride	1	mg/L	380	210	58	180
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	0.05	mg/L	0.07	19	31	14
Nitrate (as N)	0.02	mg/L	0.06	19	31	14
Nitrite (as N)	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Organic Nitrogen (as N)*	0.2	mg/L	< 0.2	1.35	1.8	1.5
pH (at 25°C)	0.1	pH Units	8.5	8.5	8.2	8.3
Sulphate (as SO4)	5	mg/L	58	30	12	40
Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	10	mg/L	1400	810	440	650
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	0.2	mg/L	0.2	1.4	1.8	1.5
Total Nitrogen (as N)	0.2	mg/L	0.27	20.4	32.8	15.5

Client Sample ID			M01 BH02	M01 BH03	M01 BH04	M01 BH05
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-Se10326	M19-Se10327	M19-Se10328	M19-Se10329
Date Sampled			Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Alkalinity (speciated)						
Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	20	mg/L	710	300	170	320
Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	10	mg/L	44	17	< 10	< 10
Hydroxide Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	20	mg/L	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃)	20	mg/L	760	310	170	320
Heavy Metals						
Iron (filtered)	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Manganese (filtered)	0.005	mg/L	0.80	0.33	< 0.005	< 0.005
Alkali Metals						
Calcium	0.5	mg/L	36	28	20	21
Magnesium	0.5	mg/L	76	57	25	26
Potassium	0.5	mg/L	5.3	5.2	2.6	2.9
Sodium	0.5	mg/L	260	230	80	160
Pathogens						
E.coli	1	MPN/100mL	M ¹⁵ < 10	180	< 1	M ¹⁵ < 10
Enterococci	1	MPN/100mL	520	1700	3.0	M ¹⁵ < 10

Client Sample ID			M01 BH06	M01 MS01	M01 BS01	M01 QC1
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-Se10330	M19-Se10331	M19-Se10332	M19-Se10333
Date Sampled			Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
BTEX						
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	99	98	91	97
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1

Client Sample ID			M01 BH06	M01 MS01	M01 BS01	M01 QC1
Sample Matrix			Water	Water	Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-Se10330	M19-Se10331	M19-Se10332	M19-Se10333
Date Sampled			Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit				
Ammonia (as N)	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	5	mg/L	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 5
Chloride	1	mg/L	47	74	46	59
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	0.05	mg/L	35	25	25	31
Nitrate (as N)	0.02	mg/L	35	25	25	31
Nitrite (as N)	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02
Organic Nitrogen (as N)*	0.2	mg/L	1.3	1.3	2.1	3.1
pH (at 25°C)	0.1	pH Units	8.1	8.1	8.2	8.2
Sulphate (as SO4)	5	mg/L	12	15	8.0	12
Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	10	mg/L	410	390	320	440
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	0.2	mg/L	1.3	1.3	2.1	3.1
Total Nitrogen (as N)	0.2	mg/L	36.3	26.3	27.1	34.1
Alkalinity (speciated)						
Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	20	mg/L	120	110	82	160
Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	10	mg/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Hydroxide Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	20	mg/L	< 20	< 20	< 20	< 20
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	20	mg/L	120	110	82	160
Heavy Metals						
Iron (filtered)	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Manganese (filtered)	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005	0.022	< 0.005	< 0.005
Alkali Metals						
Calcium	0.5	mg/L	17	16	16	20
Magnesium	0.5	mg/L	22	23	20	25
Potassium	0.5	mg/L	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.6
Sodium	0.5	mg/L	66	84	87	78
Pathogens						
E.coli	1	MPN/100mL	< 1	1.0	110	< 1
Enterococci	1	MPN/100mL	< 1	1.0	12	3.0

Client Sample ID			QC3	QC4
Sample Matrix			Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-Se10334	M19-Se10335
Date Sampled			Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit		
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				
TRH C6-C9	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C10-C14	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH C15-C28	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C29-C36	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH C10-C36 (Total)	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1
BTEX				
Benzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001
Toluene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001
Ethylbenzene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001
m&p-Xylenes	0.002	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002
o-Xylene	0.001	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001
Xylenes - Total	0.003	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003
4-Bromofluorobenzene (surr.)	1	%	93	95

Client Sample ID			QC3	QC4
Sample Matrix			Water	Water
Eurofins Sample No.			M19-Se10334	M19-Se10335
Date Sampled			Sep 05, 2019	Sep 05, 2019
Test/Reference	LOR	Unit		
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				
Naphthalene ^{N02}	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01
TRH C6-C10	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH C6-C10 less BTEX (F1) ^{N04}	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02
TRH >C10-C16	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C10-C16 less Naphthalene (F2) ^{N01}	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05
TRH >C16-C34	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C34-C40	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1
TRH >C10-C40 (total)*	0.1	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1
Ammonia (as N)				
	0.01	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01
Chloride				
	1	mg/L	< 1	< 1
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)				
	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	0.12
Nitrate (as N)				
	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	0.12
Nitrite (as N)				
	0.02	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02
Organic Nitrogen (as N)*				
	0.2	mg/L	< 0.2	< 0.2
Sulphate (as SO4)				
	5	mg/L	< 5	< 5
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)				
	0.2	mg/L	< 0.2	< 0.2
Total Nitrogen (as N)				
	0.2	mg/L	< 0.2	< 0.2
Alkalinity (speciated)				
Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)				
	20	mg/L	< 20	< 20
Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)				
	10	mg/L	< 10	< 10
Hydroxide Alkalinity (as CaCO3)				
	20	mg/L	< 20	< 20
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)				
	20	mg/L	< 20	< 20
Heavy Metals				
Iron (filtered)				
	0.05	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05
Manganese (filtered)				
	0.005	mg/L	< 0.005	< 0.005
Alkali Metals				
Calcium				
	0.5	mg/L	< 0.5	< 0.5
Magnesium				
	0.5	mg/L	< 0.5	< 0.5
Potassium				
	0.5	mg/L	< 0.5	< 0.5
Sodium				
	0.5	mg/L	< 0.5	< 0.5

Sample History

Where samples are submitted/analysed over several days, the last date of extraction and analysis is reported. A recent review of our LIMS has resulted in the correction or clarification of some method identifications. Due to this, some of the method reference information on reports has changed. However, no substantive change has been made to our laboratory methods, and as such there is no change in the validity of current or previous results.

If the date and time of sampling are not provided, the Laboratory will not be responsible for compromised results should testing be performed outside the recommended holding time.

Description	Testing Site	Extracted	Holding Time
Eurofins mgt Suite B1			
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	7 Days
BTEX - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	14 Days
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	7 Days
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions - Method: LTM-ORG-2010 TRH C6-C40	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	
Nitrogens (speciated)			
Ammonia (as N) - Method: LTM-INO-4200 Ammonia by Discrete Analyser	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	28 Days
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N) - Method: LTM-INO-4120 Analysis of NOx NO2 NH3 by FIA	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	28 Days
Nitrate (as N) - Method: LTM-INO-4120 Analysis of NOx NO2 NH3 by FIA	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	28 Days
Nitrite (as N) - Method: LTM-INO-4120 Analysis of NOx NO2 NH3 by FIA	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	2 Days
Organic Nitrogen (as N)* - Method: APHA 4500 Organic Nitrogen (N)	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	7 Days
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N) - Method: LTM-INO-4310 TKN in Waters & Soils by FIA	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	7 Days
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day) - Method: LTM-INO-4010 Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD5) in Water	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	2 Days
pH (at 25°C) - Method: LTM-GEN-7090 pH in water by ISE	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	0 Hours
Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C - Method: LTM-INO-4170 Total Dissolved Solids in Water	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	7 Days
Heavy Metals (filtered) - Method: LTM-MET-3040 Metals in Waters, Soils & Sediments by ICP-MS	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	180 Days
Eurofins mgt Suite B11C: Na/K/Ca/Mg - Method: LTM-MET-3010 Alkali Metals by ICP-AES	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	180 Days
E.coli - Method: LTM-MIC-6621 E.Coli and Total Coliforms by the MPN	Melbourne	Sep 10, 2019	24 Hour
Enterococci - Method: APHA 9230D Enterococci by MPN	Melbourne	Sep 10, 2019	24 Hour
Eurofins mgt Suite B11E: Cl/SO4/Alkalinity			
Chloride - Method: LTM-INO-4090 Chloride by Discrete Analyser	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	28 Days
Sulphate (as SO4) - Method: LTM-INO-4110 Sulfate by Discrete Analyser	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	28 Days
Alkalinity (speciated) - Method: LTM-INO-4250 Alkalinity by Electrometric Titration	Melbourne	Sep 07, 2019	14 Days

Company Name: SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure JV	Order No.: 3AUC003-PO-0256	Received: Sep 6, 2019 7:03 PM
Address: PO Box 7678 Cloisters Square PO WA 6850	Report #: 675691	Due: Sep 13, 2019
Project Name: STOCKYARD HILL WIND FARM - SPRINGS	Phone: 8 9442 2555	Priority: 5 Day
Project ID: 140389	Fax:	Contact Name: Kelvin Webb

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Long

Sample Detail						Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	E.coli	Enterococci	Iron (filtered)	Manganese (filtered)	pH (at 25°C)	Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	Nitrogens (speciated)	Eurofins mgt Suite B1	Eurofins mgt Suite B11E: Cl/SO4/Alkalinity	Eurofins mgt Suite B11C: Na/K/Ca/Mg	
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217																	
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794																	
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736																	
External Laboratory																	
No	Sample ID	Sample Date	Sampling Time	Matrix	LAB ID												
1	BH02	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10326	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
2	BH03	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10327	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
3	BH04	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10328	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
4	BH05	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10329	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
5	BH06	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10330	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
6	MS01	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10331	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
7	BS01	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10332	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
8	QC1	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10333	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
9	QC3	Sep 05, 2019		Water	M19-Se10334				X	X			X	X	X	X	X

Company Name: SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure JV	Order No.: 3AUC003-PO-0256	Received: Sep 6, 2019 7:03 PM
Address: PO Box 7678 Cloisters Square PO WA 6850	Report #: 675691	Due: Sep 13, 2019
Project Name: STOCKYARD HILL WIND FARM - SPRINGS	Phone: 8 9442 2555	Priority: 5 Day
Project ID: 140389	Fax:	Contact Name: Kelvin Webb

Eurofins Analytical Services Manager : Ursula Long

Sample Detail				Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	E.coli	Enterococci	Iron (filtered)	Manganese (filtered)	pH (at 25°C)	Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	Nitrogens (speciated)	Eurofins mgt Suite B1	Eurofins mgt Suite B11E: Cl/SO4/Alkalinity	Eurofins mgt Suite B11C: Na/K/Ca/Mg
Melbourne Laboratory - NATA Site # 1254 & 14271				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Sydney Laboratory - NATA Site # 18217														
Brisbane Laboratory - NATA Site # 20794														
Perth Laboratory - NATA Site # 23736														
10	QC4	Sep 05, 2019	Water	M19-Se10335			X	X			X	X	X	X
Test Counts				8	8	8	10	10	8	8	10	10	10	10

Internal Quality Control Review and Glossary
General

- Laboratory QC results for Method Blanks, Duplicates, Matrix Spikes, and Laboratory Control Samples follows guidelines delineated in the National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999, as amended May 2013 and are included in this QC report where applicable. Additional QC data may be available on request.
- All soil/sediment/solid results are reported on a dry basis, unless otherwise stated.
- All biota/food results are reported on a wet weight basis on the edible portion, unless otherwise stated.
- Actual LORs are matrix dependant. Quoted LORs may be raised where sample extracts are diluted due to interferences.
- Results are uncorrected for matrix spikes or surrogate recoveries except for PFAS compounds.
- SVOC analysis on waters are performed on homogenised, unfiltered samples, unless noted otherwise.
- Samples were analysed on an 'as received' basis.
- Information identified on this report with blue colour, indicates data provided by customer, that may have an impact on the results.
- This report replaces any interim results previously issued.

Holding Times

Please refer to 'Sample Preservation and Container Guide' for holding times (QS3001).

For samples received on the last day of holding time, notification of testing requirements should have been received at least 6 hours prior to sample receipt deadlines as stated on the SRA.

If the Laboratory did not receive the information in the required timeframe, and regardless of any other integrity issues, suitably qualified results may still be reported.

Holding times apply from the date of sampling, therefore compliance to these may be outside the laboratory's control.

For VOCs containing vinyl chloride, styrene and 2-chloroethyl vinyl ether the holding time is 7 days however for all other VOCs such as BTEX or C6-10 TRH then the holding time is 14 days.

****NOTE:** pH duplicates are reported as a range NOT as RPD

Units

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram

mg/L: milligrams per litre

ug/L: micrograms per litre

ppm: Parts per million

ppb: Parts per billion

%: Percentage

org/100mL: Organisms per 100 millilitres

NTU: Nephelometric Turbidity Units

MPN/100mL: Most Probable Number of organisms per 100 millilitres

Terms

Dry	Where a moisture has been determined on a solid sample the result is expressed on a dry basis.
LOR	Limit of Reporting.
SPIKE	Addition of the analyte to the sample and reported as percentage recovery.
RPD	Relative Percent Difference between two Duplicate pieces of analysis.
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample - reported as percent recovery.
CRM	Certified Reference Material - reported as percent recovery.
Method Blank	In the case of solid samples these are performed on laboratory certified clean sands and in the case of water samples these are performed on de-ionised water.
Surr - Surrogate	The addition of a like compound to the analyte target and reported as percentage recovery.
Duplicate	A second piece of analysis from the same sample and reported in the same units as the result to show comparison.
USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
APHA	American Public Health Association
TCLP	Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure
COC	Chain of Custody
SRA	Sample Receipt Advice
QSM	US Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual Version 5.3
CP	Client Parent - QC was performed on samples pertaining to this report
NCP	Non-Client Parent - QC performed on samples not pertaining to this report, QC is representative of the sequence or batch that client samples were analysed within.
TEQ	Toxic Equivalency Quotient

QC - Acceptance Criteria

RPD Duplicates: Global RPD Duplicates Acceptance Criteria is 30% however the following acceptance guidelines are equally applicable:

Results <10 times the LOR : No Limit

Results between 10-20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-50%

Results >20 times the LOR : RPD must lie between 0-30%

Surrogate Recoveries: Recoveries must lie between 20-130% Phenols & 50-150% PFASs

PFAS field samples that contain surrogate recoveries in excess of the QC limit designated in QSM 5.3 where no positive PFAS results have been reported have been reviewed and no data was affected.

WA DWER (n=10): PFBA, PFPeA, PFHxA, PFHpA, PFOA, PFBS, PFHxS, PFOS, 6:2 FTSA, 8:2 FTSA

QC Data General Comments

- Where a result is reported as a less than (<), higher than the nominated LOR, this is due to either matrix interference, extract dilution required due to interferences or contaminant levels within the sample, high moisture content or insufficient sample provided.
- Duplicate data shown within this report that states the word "BATCH" is a Batch Duplicate from outside of your sample batch, but within the laboratory sample batch at a 1:10 ratio. The Parent and Duplicate data shown is not data from your samples.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting LCS data, Toxaphene & Chlordane are not added to the LCS.
- Organochlorine Pesticide analysis - where reporting Spike data, Toxaphene is not added to the Spike.
- Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - where reporting Spike & LCS data, a single spike of commercial Hydrocarbon products in the range of C12-C30 is added and it's Total Recovery is reported in the C10-C14 cell of the Report.
- pH and Free Chlorine analysed in the laboratory - Analysis on this test must begin within 30 minutes of sampling. Therefore laboratory analysis is unlikely to be completed within holding time. Analysis will begin as soon as possible after sample receipt.
- Recovery Data (Spikes & Surrogates) - where chromatographic interference does not allow the determination of Recovery the term "INT" appears against that analyte.
- Polychlorinated Biphenyls are spiked only using Aroclor 1260 in Matrix Spikes and LCS.
- For Matrix Spikes and LCS results a dash " - " in the report means that the specific analyte was not added to the QC sample.
- Duplicate RPDs are calculated from raw analytical data thus it is possible to have two sets of data.

Quality Control Results

Test	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Method Blank						
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	mg/L	< 0.02		0.02	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	mg/L	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
Method Blank						
BTEX						
Benzene	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Toluene	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	mg/L	< 0.002		0.002	Pass	
o-Xylene	mg/L	< 0.001		0.001	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	mg/L	< 0.003		0.003	Pass	
Method Blank						
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions						
Naphthalene	mg/L	< 0.01		0.01	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	mg/L	< 0.02		0.02	Pass	
TRH >C10-C16	mg/L	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	mg/L	< 0.1		0.1	Pass	
Method Blank						
Ammonia (as N)	mg/L	< 0.01		0.01	Pass	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	mg/L	< 5		5	Pass	
Chloride	mg/L	< 1		1	Pass	
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L	< 0.02		0.02	Pass	
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	< 0.02		0.02	Pass	
Sulphate (as SO ₄)	mg/L	< 5		5	Pass	
Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	mg/L	< 10		10	Pass	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	mg/L	< 0.2		0.2	Pass	
Method Blank						
Heavy Metals						
Iron (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.05		0.05	Pass	
Manganese (filtered)	mg/L	< 0.005		0.005	Pass	
Method Blank						
Alkali Metals						
Calcium	mg/L	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Magnesium	mg/L	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Potassium	mg/L	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
Sodium	mg/L	< 0.5		0.5	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery						
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions						
TRH C6-C9	%	88		70-130	Pass	
TRH C10-C14	%	98		70-130	Pass	
LCS - % Recovery						
BTEX						
Benzene	%	101		70-130	Pass	
Toluene	%	86		70-130	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	%	79		70-130	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	%	76		70-130	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	%	80		70-130	Pass	

Test	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code	
LCS - % Recovery								
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions								
Naphthalene	%	98			70-130	Pass		
TRH C6-C10	%	88			70-130	Pass		
TRH >C10-C16	%	90			70-130	Pass		
LCS - % Recovery								
Ammonia (as N)	%	100			70-130	Pass		
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	%	103			70-130	Pass		
Chloride	%	78			70-130	Pass		
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	%	101			70-130	Pass		
Nitrate (as N)	%	101			70-130	Pass		
Nitrite (as N)	%	98			70-130	Pass		
Sulphate (as SO4)	%	101			70-130	Pass		
Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	%	100			70-130	Pass		
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	%	89			70-130	Pass		
LCS - % Recovery								
Alkalinity (speciated)								
Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	%	98			70-130	Pass		
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	%	103			70-130	Pass		
LCS - % Recovery								
Alkali Metals								
Calcium	%	98			70-130	Pass		
Magnesium	%	93			70-130	Pass		
Potassium	%	97			70-130	Pass		
Sodium	%	104			70-130	Pass		
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1		Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Spike - % Recovery								
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				Result 1				
TRH C10-C14	M19-Se11650	NCP	%	91		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1				
TRH >C10-C16	M19-Se11650	NCP	%	85		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
				Result 1				
Chloride	M19-Se16156	NCP	%	71		70-130	Pass	
Sulphate (as SO4)	M19-Se16356	NCP	%	92		70-130	Pass	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	M19-Se00512	NCP	%	96		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
Alkalinity (speciated)				Result 1				
Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	M19-Se16155	NCP	%	105		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
Alkalinity (speciated)				Result 1				
Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	M19-Se10327	CP	%	101		70-130	Pass	
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	M19-Se10327	CP	%	106		70-130	Pass	
Spike - % Recovery								
Heavy Metals				Result 1				
Iron (filtered)	M19-Se10327	CP	%	94		70-130	Pass	
Manganese (filtered)	M19-Se10327	CP	%	25		70-130	Fail	Q08
Spike - % Recovery								
Alkali Metals				Result 1				
Calcium	M19-Se10332	CP	%	98		70-130	Pass	
Magnesium	M19-Se10332	CP	%	99		70-130	Pass	
Potassium	M19-Se10332	CP	%	101		70-130	Pass	
Sodium	M19-Se10332	CP	%	104		70-130	Pass	

Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Spike - % Recovery									
				Result 1					
Ammonia (as N)	M19-Se10335	CP	%	99			70-130	Pass	
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	M19-Se10335	CP	%	99			70-130	Pass	
Nitrate (as N)	M19-Se10335	CP	%	99			70-130	Pass	
Nitrite (as N)	M19-Se10335	CP	%	106			70-130	Pass	
Test	Lab Sample ID	QA Source	Units	Result 1			Acceptance Limits	Pass Limits	Qualifying Code
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C6-C9	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
BTEX				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Benzene	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Toluene	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Ethylbenzene	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
m&p-Xylenes	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.002	< 0.002	<1	30%	Pass	
o-Xylene	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.001	< 0.001	<1	30%	Pass	
Xylenes - Total	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.003	< 0.003	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Naphthalene	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C6-C10	M19-Se10352	NCP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD-5 Day)	M19-Ap32681	NCP	mg/L	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass	
pH (at 25°C)	M19-Se10326	CP	pH Units	8.5	8.5	pass	30%	Pass	
Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180°C ± 2°C	M19-Se17135	NCP	mg/L	6800	5200	25	30%	Pass	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (as N)	M19-Se12234	NCP	mg/L	29	29	1.0	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Alkalinity (speciated)				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Bicarbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	M19-Se10326	CP	mg/L	710	730	2.0	30%	Pass	
Carbonate Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	M19-Se10326	CP	mg/L	44	39	12	30%	Pass	
Hydroxide Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	M19-Se10326	CP	mg/L	< 20	< 20	<1	30%	Pass	
Total Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	M19-Se10326	CP	mg/L	760	770	2.0	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Heavy Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
Iron (filtered)	M19-Se10327	CP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
Manganese (filtered)	M19-Se10327	CP	mg/L	0.33	0.35	5.0	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 1999 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH C10-C14	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C15-C28	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH C29-C36	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
Duplicate									
Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - 2013 NEPM Fractions				Result 1	Result 2	RPD			
TRH >C10-C16	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	< 0.05	< 0.05	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C16-C34	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	
TRH >C34-C40	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	< 0.1	< 0.1	<1	30%	Pass	

Duplicate								
Alkali Metals				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Calcium	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	16	16	1.0	30%	Pass
Magnesium	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	20	20	1.0	30%	Pass
Potassium	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	2.1	2.1	1.0	30%	Pass
Sodium	M19-Se10332	CP	mg/L	87	87	<1	30%	Pass
Duplicate								
				Result 1	Result 2	RPD		
Ammonia (as N)	M19-Se10335	CP	mg/L	< 0.01	< 0.01	<1	30%	Pass
Chloride	M19-Se10335	CP	mg/L	< 1	< 1	<1	30%	Pass
Nitrate & Nitrite (as N)	M19-Se10335	CP	mg/L	0.12	0.11	3.0	30%	Pass
Nitrate (as N)	M19-Se10335	CP	mg/L	0.12	0.11	3.0	30%	Pass
Nitrite (as N)	M19-Se10335	CP	mg/L	< 0.02	< 0.02	<1	30%	Pass
Sulphate (as SO4)	M19-Se10335	CP	mg/L	< 5	< 5	<1	30%	Pass

Comments
Sample Integrity

Custody Seals Intact (if used)	N/A
Attempt to Chill was evident	Yes
Sample correctly preserved	Yes
Appropriate sample containers have been used	Yes
Sample containers for volatile analysis received with minimal headspace	Yes
Samples received within HoldingTime	Yes
Some samples have been subcontracted	No

Qualifier Codes/Comments

Code	Description
M01	Microbiological Testing performed outside the recommended holding time
M15	LOR raised due to physical properties of sample
N01	F2 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "naphthalene" value from the ">C10-C16" value. The naphthalene value used in this calculation is obtained from volatiles (Purge & Trap analysis).
N02	Where we have reported both volatile (P&T GCMS) and semivolatile (GCMS) naphthalene data, results may not be identical. Provided correct sample handling protocols have been followed, any observed differences in results are likely to be due to procedural differences within each methodology. Results determined by both techniques have passed all QAQC acceptance criteria, and are entirely technically valid.
N04	F1 is determined by arithmetically subtracting the "Total BTEX" value from the "C6-C10" value. The "Total BTEX" value is obtained by summing the concentrations of BTEX analytes. The "C6-C10" value is obtained by quantitating against a standard of mixed aromatic/aliphatic analytes.
Q08	The matrix spike recovery is outside of the recommended acceptance criteria. An acceptable recovery was obtained for the laboratory control sample indicating a sample matrix interference

Authorised By

Ursula Long	Analytical Services Manager
Emily Rosenberg	Senior Analyst-Metal (VIC)
Harry Bacalis	Senior Analyst-Volatile (VIC)
Joseph Edouard	Senior Analyst-Organic (VIC)
Julie Kay	Senior Analyst-Inorganic (VIC)
Nandhini Uthayakumaran	Senior Analyst-Microbiology (VIC)


**Glenn Jackson
General Manager**

Final report - this Report replaces any previously issued Report

- Indicates Not Requested

* Indicates NATA accreditation does not cover the performance of this service

Measurement uncertainty of test data is available on request or please [click here](#).

Eurofins shall not be liable for loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred by the client, or any other person or company, resulting from the use of any information or interpretation given in this report. In no case shall Eurofins be liable for consequential damages including, but not limited to, lost profits, damages for failure to meet deadlines and lost production arising from this report. This document shall not be reproduced except in full and relates only to the items tested. Unless indicated otherwise, the tests were performed on the samples as received.

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order : **EM1914767**
Client : **SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure Joint Venture**
Contact : ADAM PARKER
Address : PO Box 7678
 CLOISTERS SQUARE 6850
Telephone : ----
Project : SHWF Water
Order number :
C-O-C number : ----
Sampler : KW
Site : ----
Quote number : EN/333
No. of samples received : 1
No. of samples analysed : 1

Page : 1 of 5
Laboratory : Environmental Division Melbourne
Contact : Customer Services EM
Address : 4 Westall Rd Springvale VIC Australia 3171

Telephone : +61-3-8549 9600
Date Samples Received : 06-Sep-2019 18:30
Date Analysis Commenced : 06-Sep-2019
Issue Date : 13-Sep-2019 16:19



Accreditation No. 825
 Accredited for compliance with
 ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results
- Surrogate Control Limits

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification.

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

<i>Signatories</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Accreditation Category</i>
Dilani Fernando	Senior Inorganic Chemist	Melbourne Inorganics, Springvale, VIC
Xing Lin	Senior Organic Chemist	Melbourne Organics, Springvale, VIC



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

When sampling time information is not provided by the client, sampling dates are shown without a time component. In these instances, the time component has been assumed by the laboratory for processing purposes.

Where a result is required to meet compliance limits the associated uncertainty must be considered. Refer to the ALS Contact for details.

Key : CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
LOR = Limit of reporting
^ = This result is computed from individual analyte detections at or above the level of reporting
ø = ALS is not NATA accredited for these tests.
~ = Indicates an estimated value.

- EG020F:EM1914767#1 results for dissolved Manganese have been confirmed by re-preparation and re-analysis.
- Ionic Balance out of acceptable limits due to analytes not quantified in this report.
- Ionic balances were calculated using: major anions - chloride, alkalinity and sulfate; and major cations - calcium, magnesium, potassium and sodium.
- ED045G: The presence of thiocyanate can positively contribute to the chloride result, thereby may bias results higher than expected. Results should be scrutinised accordingly.
- Sodium Adsorption Ratio (where reported): Where results for Na, Ca or Mg are <LOR, a concentration at half the reported LOR is incorporated into the SAR calculation. This represents a conservative approach for Na relative to the assumption that <LOR = zero concentration and a conservative approach for Ca & Mg relative to the assumption that <LOR is equivalent to the LOR concentration.



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)		Client sample ID			QC 2	----	----	----	----
Client sampling date / time		05-Sep-2019 00:00			----	----	----	----	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EM1914767-001	-----	-----	-----	-----	
				Result	----	----	----	----	
EA005P: pH by PC Titrator									
pH Value	----	0.01	pH Unit	7.86	----	----	----	----	
EA015: Total Dissolved Solids dried at 180 ± 5 °C									
Total Dissolved Solids @180°C	----	10	mg/L	466	----	----	----	----	
ED037P: Alkalinity by PC Titrator									
Hydroxide Alkalinity as CaCO3	DMO-210-001	1	mg/L	<1	----	----	----	----	
Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	3812-32-6	1	mg/L	<1	----	----	----	----	
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	71-52-3	1	mg/L	162	----	----	----	----	
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	----	1	mg/L	162	----	----	----	----	
ED041G: Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by DA									
Sulfate as SO4 - Turbidimetric	14808-79-8	1	mg/L	11	----	----	----	----	
ED045G: Chloride by Discrete Analyser									
Chloride	16887-00-6	1	mg/L	63	----	----	----	----	
ED093F: Dissolved Major Cations									
Calcium	7440-70-2	1	mg/L	16	----	----	----	----	
Magnesium	7439-95-4	1	mg/L	23	----	----	----	----	
Sodium	7440-23-5	1	mg/L	77	----	----	----	----	
Potassium	7440-09-7	1	mg/L	3	----	----	----	----	
EG020F: Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS									
Manganese	7439-96-5	0.001	mg/L	0.001	----	----	----	----	
Iron	7439-89-6	0.05	mg/L	<0.05	----	----	----	----	
EK055G: Ammonia as N by Discrete Analyser									
Ammonia as N	7664-41-7	0.01	mg/L	0.03	----	----	----	----	
EK057G: Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser									
Nitrite as N	14797-65-0	0.01	mg/L	0.01	----	----	----	----	
EK058G: Nitrate as N by Discrete Analyser									
Nitrate as N	14797-55-8	0.01	mg/L	30.3	----	----	----	----	
EK059G: Nitrite plus Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser									
Nitrite + Nitrate as N	----	0.01	mg/L	30.3	----	----	----	----	
EK060G: Organic Nitrogen as N (TKN-NH3) By Discrete Analyser									
Organic Nitrogen as N	----	0.1	mg/L	1.4	----	----	----	----	
EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen By Discrete Analyser									
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N	----	0.1	mg/L	1.4	----	----	----	----	
EK062G: Total Nitrogen as N (TKN + NOx) by Discrete Analyser									



Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: WATER (Matrix: WATER)				Client sample ID	QC 2	----	----	----	----
Client sampling date / time				05-Sep-2019 00:00	----	----	----	----	
Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	EM1914767-001	-----	-----	-----	-----	
				Result	----	----	----	----	
EK062G: Total Nitrogen as N (TKN + NOx) by Discrete Analyser - Continued									
^ Total Nitrogen as N	----	0.1	mg/L	31.7	----	----	----	----	----
EN055: Ionic Balance									
∅ Total Anions	----	0.01	meq/L	5.24	----	----	----	----	----
∅ Total Cations	----	0.01	meq/L	6.12	----	----	----	----	----
∅ Ionic Balance	----	0.01	%	7.70	----	----	----	----	----
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons									
C6 - C9 Fraction	----	20	µg/L	<20	----	----	----	----	----
C10 - C14 Fraction	----	50	µg/L	<50	----	----	----	----	----
C15 - C28 Fraction	----	100	µg/L	<100	----	----	----	----	----
C29 - C36 Fraction	----	50	µg/L	<50	----	----	----	----	----
^ C10 - C36 Fraction (sum)	----	50	µg/L	<50	----	----	----	----	----
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions									
C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	20	µg/L	<20	----	----	----	----	----
^ C6 - C10 Fraction minus BTEX (F1)	C6_C10-BTEX	20	µg/L	<20	----	----	----	----	----
>C10 - C16 Fraction	----	100	µg/L	<100	----	----	----	----	----
>C16 - C34 Fraction	----	100	µg/L	<100	----	----	----	----	----
>C34 - C40 Fraction	----	100	µg/L	<100	----	----	----	----	----
^ >C10 - C40 Fraction (sum)	----	100	µg/L	<100	----	----	----	----	----
^ >C10 - C16 Fraction minus Naphthalene (F2)	----	100	µg/L	<100	----	----	----	----	----
EP080: BTEXN									
Benzene	71-43-2	1	µg/L	<1	----	----	----	----	----
Toluene	108-88-3	2	µg/L	<2	----	----	----	----	----
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	2	µg/L	<2	----	----	----	----	----
meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3 106-42-3	2	µg/L	<2	----	----	----	----	----
ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	2	µg/L	<2	----	----	----	----	----
^ Total Xylenes	----	2	µg/L	<2	----	----	----	----	----
^ Sum of BTEX	----	1	µg/L	<1	----	----	----	----	----
Naphthalene	91-20-3	5	µg/L	<5	----	----	----	----	----
EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates									
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	17060-07-0	2	%	92.7	----	----	----	----	----
Toluene-D8	2037-26-5	2	%	88.7	----	----	----	----	----
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	2	%	109	----	----	----	----	----



Surrogate Control Limits

Sub-Matrix: WATER		Recovery Limits (%)	
Compound	CAS Number	Low	High
EP080S: TPH(V)/BTEX Surrogates			
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	17060-07-0	73	129
Toluene-D8	2037-26-5	70	125
4-Bromofluorobenzene	460-00-4	71	129

QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Work Order	: EM1914767	Page	: 1 of 7
Client	: SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure Joint Venture	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Melbourne
Contact	: ADAM PARKER	Contact	: Customer Services EM
Address	: PO Box 7678 CLOISTERS SQUARE 6850	Address	: 4 Westall Rd Springvale VIC Australia 3171
Telephone	: ----	Telephone	: +61-3-8549 9600
Project	: SHWF Water	Date Samples Received	: 06-Sep-2019
Order number	:	Date Analysis Commenced	: 06-Sep-2019
C-O-C number	: ----	Issue Date	: 13-Sep-2019
Sampler	: KW		
Site	: ----		
Quote number	: EN/333		
No. of samples received	: 1		
No. of samples analysed	: 1		



This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percentage Difference (RPD) and Acceptance Limits
- Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits
- Matrix Spike (MS) Report; Recovery and Acceptance Limits

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is carried out in compliance with procedures specified in 21 CFR Part 11.

<i>Signatories</i>	<i>Position</i>	<i>Accreditation Category</i>
Dilani Fernando	Senior Inorganic Chemist	Melbourne Inorganics, Springvale, VIC
Xing Lin	Senior Organic Chemist	Melbourne Organics, Springvale, VIC



General Comments

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the USEPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request.

Where moisture determination has been performed, results are reported on a dry weight basis.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis. Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high

Key :
 Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not specifically part of this work order but formed part of the QC process lot
 CAS Number = CAS registry number from database maintained by Chemical Abstracts Services. The Chemical Abstracts Service is a division of the American Chemical Society.
 LOR = Limit of reporting
 RPD = Relative Percentage Difference
 # = Indicates failed QC

Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

The quality control term Laboratory Duplicate refers to a randomly selected intralaboratory split. Laboratory duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. The permitted ranges for the Relative Percent Deviation (RPD) of Laboratory Duplicates are specified in ALS Method QWI-EN/38 and are dependent on the magnitude of results in comparison to the level of reporting: Result < 10 times LOR: No Limit; Result between 10 and 20 times LOR: 0% - 50%; Result > 20 times LOR: 0% - 20%.

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report					
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	Recovery Limits (%)
EA005P: pH by PC Titrator (QC Lot: 2573771)									
EM1914831-004	Anonymous	EA005-P: pH Value	----	0.01	pH Unit	4.84	4.84	0.00	0% - 20%
EM1914683-002	Anonymous	EA005-P: pH Value	----	0.01	pH Unit	6.53	6.50	0.460	0% - 20%
EA015: Total Dissolved Solids dried at 180 ± 5 °C (QC Lot: 2576166)									
EM1914767-001	QC 2	EA015H: Total Dissolved Solids @180°C	----	10	mg/L	466	443	4.95	0% - 20%
EM1914812-004	Anonymous	EA015H: Total Dissolved Solids @180°C	----	10	mg/L	424	422	0.236	0% - 20%
ED037P: Alkalinity by PC Titrator (QC Lot: 2573773)									
EM1914736-002	Anonymous	ED037-P: Hydroxide Alkalinity as CaCO3	DMO-210-001	1	mg/L	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit
		ED037-P: Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	3812-32-6	1	mg/L	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit
		ED037-P: Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	71-52-3	1	mg/L	558	558	0.00	0% - 20%
		ED037-P: Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	----	1	mg/L	558	558	0.00	0% - 20%
EM1914831-004	Anonymous	ED037-P: Hydroxide Alkalinity as CaCO3	DMO-210-001	1	mg/L	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit
		ED037-P: Carbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	3812-32-6	1	mg/L	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit
		ED037-P: Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	71-52-3	1	mg/L	560	542	3.35	0% - 20%
		ED037-P: Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	----	1	mg/L	560	542	3.35	0% - 20%
ED041G: Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by DA (QC Lot: 2571405)									
EM1914698-001	Anonymous	ED041G: Sulfate as SO4 - Turbidimetric	14808-79-8	1	mg/L	1540	1540	0.104	0% - 20%
EM1914477-007	Anonymous	ED041G: Sulfate as SO4 - Turbidimetric	14808-79-8	1	mg/L	5	5	0.00	No Limit
ED045G: Chloride by Discrete Analyser (QC Lot: 2571408)									
EM1914682-005	Anonymous	ED045G: Chloride	16887-00-6	1	mg/L	3060	2630	15.1	0% - 20%
EM1914477-007	Anonymous	ED045G: Chloride	16887-00-6	1	mg/L	202	211	4.28	0% - 20%
ED093F: Dissolved Major Cations (QC Lot: 2574346)									
EM1914732-045	Anonymous	ED093F: Calcium	7440-70-2	1	mg/L	24	24	0.00	0% - 20%
		ED093F: Magnesium	7439-95-4	1	mg/L	53	53	0.00	0% - 20%
		ED093F: Sodium	7440-23-5	1	mg/L	423	419	1.03	0% - 20%



Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

				Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD (%)	Recovery Limits (%)	
ED093F: Dissolved Major Cations (QC Lot: 2574346) - continued										
EM1914732-045	Anonymous	ED093F: Potassium	7440-09-7	1	mg/L	10	10	0.00	No Limit	
EM1914852-004	Anonymous	ED093F: Calcium	7440-70-2	1	mg/L	201	203	0.988	0% - 20%	
		ED093F: Magnesium	7439-95-4	1	mg/L	23	23	0.00	0% - 20%	
		ED093F: Sodium	7440-23-5	1	mg/L	1130	1130	0.344	0% - 20%	
		ED093F: Potassium	7440-09-7	1	mg/L	17	17	0.00	0% - 50%	
EG020F: Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS (QC Lot: 2574344)										
EM1914732-016	Anonymous	EG020A-F: Manganese	7439-96-5	0.001	mg/L	0.015	0.015	0.00	0% - 50%	
		EG020A-F: Iron	7439-89-6	0.05	mg/L	<0.05	<0.05	0.00	No Limit	
EM1914732-041	Anonymous	EG020A-F: Manganese	7439-96-5	0.001	mg/L	0.222	0.225	1.58	0% - 20%	
		EG020A-F: Iron	7439-89-6	0.05	mg/L	8.87	8.81	0.741	0% - 20%	
EK055G: Ammonia as N by Discrete Analyser (QC Lot: 2573725)										
EM1914755-002	Anonymous	EK055G: Ammonia as N	7664-41-7	0.01	mg/L	0.15	0.15	0.00	0% - 50%	
EM1914831-002	Anonymous	EK055G: Ammonia as N	7664-41-7	0.01	mg/L	0.36	0.35	4.12	0% - 20%	
EK057G: Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser (QC Lot: 2571407)										
EM1914477-007	Anonymous	EK057G: Nitrite as N	14797-65-0	0.01	mg/L	0.01	0.01	0.00	No Limit	
EK059G: Nitrite plus Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser (QC Lot: 2573724)										
EM1914739-002	Anonymous	EK059G: Nitrite + Nitrate as N	----	0.01	mg/L	21.8	21.1	3.38	0% - 20%	
EM1914770-003	Anonymous	EK059G: Nitrite + Nitrate as N	----	0.01	mg/L	<0.01	<0.01	0.00	No Limit	
EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen By Discrete Analyser (QC Lot: 2573182)										
EM1914495-001	Anonymous	EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N	----	0.1	mg/L	0.7	0.8	0.00	No Limit	
EM1914737-006	Anonymous	EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N	----	0.1	mg/L	1.5	1.6	0.00	0% - 50%	
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (QC Lot: 2578506)										
EM1914746-001	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C9 Fraction	----	20	µg/L	<20	<20	0.00	No Limit	
EM1914781-030	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C9 Fraction	----	20	µg/L	<20	<20	0.00	No Limit	
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions (QC Lot: 2578506)										
EM1914746-001	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	20	µg/L	<20	<20	0.00	No Limit	
EM1914781-030	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	20	µg/L	<20	<20	0.00	No Limit	
EP080: BTEXN (QC Lot: 2578506)										
EM1914746-001	Anonymous	EP080: Benzene	71-43-2	1	µg/L	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit	
		EP080: Toluene	108-88-3	2	µg/L	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit	
		EP080: Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	2	µg/L	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit	
		EP080: meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3	2	µg/L	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit	
			106-42-3							
		EP080: ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	2	µg/L	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit	
EM1914781-030	Anonymous	EP080: Naphthalene	91-20-3	5	µg/L	<5	<5	0.00	No Limit	
		EP080: Benzene	71-43-2	1	µg/L	<1	<1	0.00	No Limit	
		EP080: Toluene	108-88-3	2	µg/L	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit	
		EP080: Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	2	µg/L	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit	

Page : 4 of 7
 Work Order : EM1914767
 Client : SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure Joint Venture
 Project : SHWF Water



Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

				<i>Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report</i>					
<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Method: Compound</i>	<i>CAS Number</i>	<i>LOR</i>	<i>Unit</i>	<i>Original Result</i>	<i>Duplicate Result</i>	<i>RPD (%)</i>	<i>Recovery Limits (%)</i>
EP080: BTEXN (QC Lot: 2578506) - continued									
EM1914781-030	Anonymous	EP080: meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3	2	µg/L	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit
			106-42-3						
		EP080: ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	2	µg/L	<2	<2	0.00	No Limit
		EP080: Naphthalene	91-20-3	5	µg/L	<5	<5	0.00	No Limit



Method Blank (MB) and Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report

The quality control term Method / Laboratory Blank refers to an analyte free matrix to which all reagents are added in the same volumes or proportions as used in standard sample preparation. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential laboratory contamination. The quality control term Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) refers to a certified reference material, or a known interference free matrix spiked with target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor method precision and accuracy independent of sample matrix. Dynamic Recovery Limits are based on statistical evaluation of processed LCS.

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Method Blank (MB) Report	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report				
				Result	Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)		Recovery Limits (%)	
						LCS	Low	High	
EA015: Total Dissolved Solids dried at 180 ± 5 °C (QCLot: 2576166)									
EA015H: Total Dissolved Solids @180°C	----	10	mg/L	<10	2000 mg/L	99.2	94	107	
				<10	293 mg/L	100	90	110	
ED037P: Alkalinity by PC Titrator (QCLot: 2573773)									
ED037-P: Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	----	----	mg/L	----	200 mg/L	90.0	88	112	
ED041G: Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by DA (QCLot: 2571405)									
ED041G: Sulfate as SO4 - Turbidimetric	14808-79-8	1	mg/L	<1	25 mg/L	104	86	117	
				<1	100 mg/L	100	86	117	
ED045G: Chloride by Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2571408)									
ED045G: Chloride	16887-00-6	1	mg/L	<1	10 mg/L	99.4	85	122	
				<1	1000 mg/L	94.9	85	122	
ED093F: Dissolved Major Cations (QCLot: 2574346)									
ED093F: Calcium	7440-70-2	1	mg/L	<1	5 mg/L	110	88	117	
ED093F: Magnesium	7439-95-4	1	mg/L	<1	5 mg/L	106	86	114	
ED093F: Sodium	7440-23-5	1	mg/L	<1	50 mg/L	102	90	114	
ED093F: Potassium	7440-09-7	1	mg/L	<1	50 mg/L	105	87	111	
EG020F: Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS (QCLot: 2574344)									
EG020A-F: Manganese	7439-96-5	0.001	mg/L	<0.001	0.1 mg/L	99.7	85	107	
EG020A-F: Iron	7439-89-6	0.05	mg/L	<0.05	0.5 mg/L	104	92	109	
EK055G: Ammonia as N by Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2573725)									
EK055G: Ammonia as N	7664-41-7	0.01	mg/L	<0.01	1 mg/L	105	88	116	
EK057G: Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2571407)									
EK057G: Nitrite as N	14797-65-0	0.01	mg/L	<0.01	0.5 mg/L	102	91	112	
EK059G: Nitrite plus Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2573724)									
EK059G: Nitrite + Nitrate as N	----	0.01	mg/L	<0.01	0.5 mg/L	98.6	90	117	
EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen By Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2573182)									
EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N	----	0.1	mg/L	<0.1	5 mg/L	93.6	70	117	
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (QCLot: 2571204)									
EP071: C10 - C14 Fraction	----	50	µg/L	<50	3330 µg/L	109	45	125	
EP071: C15 - C28 Fraction	----	100	µg/L	<100	16500 µg/L	86.3	51	135	
EP071: C29 - C36 Fraction	----	50	µg/L	<50	7800 µg/L	89.0	49	134	
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (QCLot: 2578506)									
EP080: C6 - C9 Fraction	----	20	µg/L	<20	360 µg/L	100	66	129	
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions (QCLot: 2571204)									



Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

Method: Compound	CAS Number	LOR	Unit	Method Blank (MB) Report Result	Laboratory Control Spike (LCS) Report			
					Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%)	Recovery Limits (%)	
						LCS	Low	High
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions (QCLot: 2571204) - continued								
EP071: >C10 - C16 Fraction	----	100	µg/L	<100	5690 µg/L	92.3	47	129
EP071: >C16 - C34 Fraction	----	100	µg/L	<100	20700 µg/L	89.8	50	133
EP071: >C34 - C40 Fraction	----	100	µg/L	<100	1510 µg/L	87.4	45	136
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions (QCLot: 2578506)								
EP080: C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	20	µg/L	<20	450 µg/L	97.4	64	126
EP080: BTEXN (QCLot: 2578506)								
EP080: Benzene	71-43-2	1	µg/L	<1	20 µg/L	97.9	70	124
EP080: Toluene	108-88-3	2	µg/L	<2	20 µg/L	98.9	74	126
EP080: Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	2	µg/L	<2	20 µg/L	97.9	72	126
EP080: meta- & para-Xylene	108-38-3	2	µg/L	<2	40 µg/L	103	72	132
	106-42-3							
EP080: ortho-Xylene	95-47-6	2	µg/L	<2	20 µg/L	107	77	132
EP080: Naphthalene	91-20-3	5	µg/L	<5	5 µg/L	105	71	127

Matrix Spike (MS) Report

The quality control term Matrix Spike (MS) refers to an intralaboratory split sample spiked with a representative set of target analytes. The purpose of this QC parameter is to monitor potential matrix effects on analyte recoveries. Static Recovery Limits as per laboratory Data Quality Objectives (DQOs). Ideal recovery ranges stated may be waived in the event of sample matrix interference.

Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Method: Compound	CAS Number	Matrix Spike (MS) Report			
				Spike Concentration	Spike Recovery (%) MS	Recovery Limits (%)	
						Low	High
ED041G: Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by DA (QCLot: 2571405)							
EM1914477-008	Anonymous	ED041G: Sulfate as SO4 - Turbidimetric	14808-79-8	100 mg/L	82.8	70	130
ED045G: Chloride by Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2571408)							
EM1914477-008	Anonymous	ED045G: Chloride	16887-00-6	400 mg/L	84.7	70	130
EG020F: Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS (QCLot: 2574344)							
EM1914732-016	Anonymous	EG020A-F: Manganese	7439-96-5	0.2 mg/L	97.9	64	134
EK055G: Ammonia as N by Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2573725)							
EM1914755-003	Anonymous	EK055G: Ammonia as N	7664-41-7	1 mg/L	108	70	130
EK057G: Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2571407)							
EM1914477-008	Anonymous	EK057G: Nitrite as N	14797-65-0	0.5 mg/L	83.1	80	114
EK059G: Nitrite plus Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2573724)							
EM1914746-001	Anonymous	EK059G: Nitrite + Nitrate as N	----	0.5 mg/L	91.4	70	130
EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen By Discrete Analyser (QCLot: 2573182)							
EM1914495-002	Anonymous	EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N	----	5 mg/L	106	70	130
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (QCLot: 2578506)							



Sub-Matrix: **WATER**

				<i>Matrix Spike (MS) Report</i>			
				<i>Spike</i>	<i>SpikeRecovery(%)</i>	<i>Recovery Limits (%)</i>	
<i>Laboratory sample ID</i>	<i>Client sample ID</i>	<i>Method: Compound</i>	<i>CAS Number</i>	<i>Concentration</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>High</i>
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (QCLot: 2578506) - continued							
EM1914746-002	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C9 Fraction	----	280 µg/L	89.8	43	125
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions (QCLot: 2578506)							
EM1914746-002	Anonymous	EP080: C6 - C10 Fraction	C6_C10	330 µg/L	87.4	44	122
EP080: BTEXN (QCLot: 2578506)							
EM1914746-002	Anonymous	EP080: Benzene	71-43-2	20 µg/L	96.9	68	130
		EP080: Toluene	108-88-3	20 µg/L	98.5	72	132

QA/QC Compliance Assessment to assist with Quality Review

Work Order	: EM1914767	Page	: 1 of 7
Client	: SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure Joint Venture	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Melbourne
Contact	: ADAM PARKER	Telephone	: +61-3-8549 9600
Project	: SHWF Water	Date Samples Received	: 06-Sep-2019
Site	: ----	Issue Date	: 13-Sep-2019
Sampler	: KW	No. of samples received	: 1
Order number	:	No. of samples analysed	: 1

This report is automatically generated by the ALS LIMS through interpretation of the ALS Quality Control Report and several Quality Assurance parameters measured by ALS. This automated reporting highlights any non-conformances, facilitates faster and more accurate data validation and is designed to assist internal expert and external Auditor review. Many components of this report contribute to the overall DQO assessment and reporting for guideline compliance.

Brief method summaries and references are also provided to assist in traceability.

Summary of Outliers

Outliers : Quality Control Samples

This report highlights outliers flagged in the Quality Control (QC) Report.

- **NO** Method Blank value outliers occur.
- **NO** Duplicate outliers occur.
- **NO** Laboratory Control outliers occur.
- **NO** Matrix Spike outliers occur.
- For all regular sample matrices, **NO** surrogate recovery outliers occur.

Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance

- Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist - please see following pages for full details.

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

- Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers exist - please see following pages for full details.



Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance

Matrix: **WATER**

Method Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
	Date extracted	Due for extraction	Days overdue	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Days overdue
EA005P: pH by PC Titrator						
Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural QC 2	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	05-Sep-2019	5

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

Matrix: **WATER**

Quality Control Sample Type Method	Count		Rate (%)		Quality Control Specification
	QC	Regular	Actual	Expected	
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)					
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	0	20	0.00	10.00	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Matrix Spikes (MS)					
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	0	20	0.00	5.00	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard

Analysis Holding Time Compliance

If samples are identified below as having been analysed or extracted outside of recommended holding times, this should be taken into consideration when interpreting results.

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with ALS recommended holding times (referencing USEPA SW 846, APHA, AS and NEPM) based on the sample container provided. Dates reported represent first date of extraction or analysis and preclude subsequent dilutions and reruns. A listing of breaches (if any) is provided herein.

Holding time for leachate methods (e.g. TCLP) vary according to the analytes reported. Assessment compares the leach date with the shortest analyte holding time for the equivalent soil method. These are: organics 14 days, mercury 28 days & other metals 180 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all non-volatile parameters.

Holding times for VOC in soils vary according to analytes of interest. Vinyl Chloride and Styrene holding time is 7 days; others 14 days. A recorded breach does not guarantee a breach for all VOC analytes and should be verified in case the reported breach is a false positive or Vinyl Chloride and Styrene are not key analytes of interest/concern.

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: * = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
EA005P: pH by PC Titrator							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural (EA005-P) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	05-Sep-2019	*
EA015: Total Dissolved Solids dried at 180 ± 5 °C							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural (EA015H) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	11-Sep-2019	12-Sep-2019	✓
ED037P: Alkalinity by PC Titrator							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural (ED037-P) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	19-Sep-2019	✓
ED041G: Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO₄²⁻ by DA							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural (ED041G) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	03-Oct-2019	✓
ED045G: Chloride by Discrete Analyser							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural (ED045G) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	03-Oct-2019	✓



Matrix: **WATER** Evaluation: * = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Sample Date	Extraction / Preparation			Analysis		
		Date extracted	Due for extraction	Evaluation	Date analysed	Due for analysis	Evaluation
ED093F: Dissolved Major Cations							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Nitric Acid; Filtered (ED093F) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	03-Oct-2019	✓
EG020F: Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Nitric Acid; Filtered (EG020A-F) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	03-Mar-2020	✓
EK055G: Ammonia as N by Discrete Analyser							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Sulfuric Acid (EK055G) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	03-Oct-2019	✓
EK057G: Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural (EK057G) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	06-Sep-2019	07-Sep-2019	✓
EK059G: Nitrite plus Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Sulfuric Acid (EK059G) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	----	----	----	10-Sep-2019	03-Oct-2019	✓
EK061G: Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen By Discrete Analyser							
Clear Plastic Bottle - Sulfuric Acid (EK061G) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	10-Sep-2019	03-Oct-2019	✓	10-Sep-2019	03-Oct-2019	✓
EP080/071: Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons							
Amber Glass Bottle - Unpreserved (EP071) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	09-Sep-2019	12-Sep-2019	✓	11-Sep-2019	19-Oct-2019	✓
Clear glass VOC vial - HCl (EP080) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	12-Sep-2019	19-Sep-2019	✓	12-Sep-2019	19-Sep-2019	✓
EP080/071: Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons - NEPM 2013 Fractions							
Amber Glass Bottle - Unpreserved (EP071) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	09-Sep-2019	12-Sep-2019	✓	11-Sep-2019	19-Oct-2019	✓
Clear glass VOC vial - HCl (EP080) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	12-Sep-2019	19-Sep-2019	✓	12-Sep-2019	19-Sep-2019	✓
EP080: BTEXN							
Clear glass VOC vial - HCl (EP080) QC 2	05-Sep-2019	12-Sep-2019	19-Sep-2019	✓	12-Sep-2019	19-Sep-2019	✓



Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

The following report summarises the frequency of laboratory QC samples analysed within the analytical lot(s) in which the submitted sample(s) was(were) processed. Actual rate should be greater than or equal to the expected rate. A listing of breaches is provided in the Summary of Outliers.

Matrix: **WATER** Evaluation: ✖ = Quality Control frequency not within specification ; ✔ = Quality Control frequency within specification.

Quality Control Sample Type	Method	Count		Rate (%)			Quality Control Specification
		QC	Reaular	Actual	Expected	Evaluation	
Analytical Methods							
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)							
Alkalinity by PC Titrator	ED037-P	2	16	12.50	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Ammonia as N by Discrete analyser	EK055G	2	11	18.18	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Chloride by Discrete Analyser	ED045G	2	15	13.33	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS - Suite A	EG020A-F	2	3	66.67	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Major Cations - Dissolved	ED093F	2	10	20.00	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Nitrite and Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser	EK059G	2	16	12.50	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser	EK057G	1	6	16.67	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
pH by PC Titrator	EA005-P	2	20	10.00	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by Discrete Analyser	ED041G	2	15	13.33	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Total Dissolved Solids (High Level)	EA015H	2	20	10.00	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N By Discrete Analyser	EK061G	2	20	10.00	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	0	20	0.00	10.00	✖	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	2	20	10.00	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)							
Alkalinity by PC Titrator	ED037-P	1	16	6.25	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Ammonia as N by Discrete analyser	EK055G	1	11	9.09	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Chloride by Discrete Analyser	ED045G	2	15	13.33	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS - Suite A	EG020A-F	1	3	33.33	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Major Cations - Dissolved	ED093F	1	10	10.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Nitrite and Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser	EK059G	1	16	6.25	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser	EK057G	1	6	16.67	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by Discrete Analyser	ED041G	2	15	13.33	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Total Dissolved Solids (High Level)	EA015H	2	20	10.00	10.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N By Discrete Analyser	EK061G	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Method Blanks (MB)							
Ammonia as N by Discrete analyser	EK055G	1	11	9.09	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Chloride by Discrete Analyser	ED045G	1	15	6.67	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS - Suite A	EG020A-F	1	3	33.33	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Major Cations - Dissolved	ED093F	1	10	10.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Nitrite and Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser	EK059G	1	16	6.25	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser	EK057G	1	6	16.67	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by Discrete Analyser	ED041G	1	15	6.67	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Total Dissolved Solids (High Level)	EA015H	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N By Discrete Analyser	EK061G	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard



Matrix: **WATER** Evaluation: ✖ = Quality Control frequency not within specification ; ✔ = Quality Control frequency within specification.

Quality Control Sample Type	Method	Count		Rate (%)			Quality Control Specification
		QC	Reaular	Actual	Expected	Evaluation	
Analytical Methods							
Method Blanks (MB) - Continued							
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Matrix Spikes (MS)							
Ammonia as N by Discrete analyser	EK055G	1	11	9.09	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Chloride by Discrete Analyser	ED045G	1	15	6.67	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS - Suite A	EG020A-F	1	3	33.33	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Nitrite and Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser	EK059G	1	16	6.25	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser	EK057G	1	6	16.67	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by Discrete Analyser	ED041G	1	15	6.67	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N By Discrete Analyser	EK061G	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	0	20	0.00	5.00	✖	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	1	20	5.00	5.00	✔	NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard



Brief Method Summaries

The analytical procedures used by the Environmental Division have been developed from established internationally recognized procedures such as those published by the US EPA, APHA, AS and NEPM. In house developed procedures are employed in the absence of documented standards or by client request. The following report provides brief descriptions of the analytical procedures employed for results reported in the Certificate of Analysis. Sources from which ALS methods have been developed are provided within the Method Descriptions.

Analytical Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
pH by PC Titrator	EA005-P	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500 H+ B. This procedure determines pH of water samples by automated ISE. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Total Dissolved Solids (High Level)	EA015H	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 2540C. A gravimetric procedure that determines the amount of 'filterable' residue in an aqueous sample. A well-mixed sample is filtered through a glass fibre filter (1.2um). The filtrate is evaporated to dryness and dried to constant weight at 180+/-5C. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Alkalinity by PC Titrator	ED037-P	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 2320 B This procedure determines alkalinity by automated measurement (e.g. PC Titrate) using pH 4.5 for indicating the total alkalinity end-point. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Sulfate (Turbidimetric) as SO4 2- by Discrete Analyser	ED041G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500-SO4. Dissolved sulfate is determined in a 0.45um filtered sample. Sulfate ions are converted to a barium sulfate suspension in an acetic acid medium with barium chloride. Light absorbance of the BaSO4 suspension is measured by a photometer and the SO4-2 concentration is determined by comparison of the reading with a standard curve. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Chloride by Discrete Analyser	ED045G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500 Cl - G. The thiocyanate ion is liberated from mercuric thiocyanate through sequestration of mercury by the chloride ion to form non-ionised mercuric chloride. In the presence of ferric ions the liberated thiocyanate forms highly-coloured ferric thiocyanate which is measured at 480 nm APHA 21st edition seal method 2 017-1-L april 2003
Major Cations - Dissolved	ED093F	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 3120 and 3125; USEPA SW 846 - 6010 and 6020; Cations are determined by either ICP-AES or ICP-MS techniques. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) Sodium Adsorption Ratio is calculated from Ca, Mg and Na which determined by ALS in house method QWI-EN/ED093F. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) Hardness parameters are calculated based on APHA 2340 B. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Dissolved Metals by ICP-MS - Suite A	EG020A-F	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 3125; USEPA SW846 - 6020, ALS QWI-EN/EG020. Samples are 0.45µm filtered prior to analysis. The ICPMS technique utilizes a highly efficient argon plasma to ionize selected elements. Ions are then passed into a high vacuum mass spectrometer, which separates the analytes based on their distinct mass to charge ratios prior to their measurement by a discrete dynode ion detector.
Ammonia as N by Discrete analyser	EK055G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500-NH3 G Ammonia is determined by direct colorimetry by Discrete Analyser. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Nitrite as N by Discrete Analyser	EK057G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500-NO2- B. Nitrite is determined by direct colourimetry by Discrete Analyser. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Nitrate as N by Discrete Analyser	EK058G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500-NO3- F. Nitrate is reduced to nitrite by way of a chemical reduction followed by quantification by Discrete Analyser. Nitrite is determined separately by direct colourimetry and result for Nitrate calculated as the difference between the two results. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)



Analytical Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
Nitrite and Nitrate as N (NOx) by Discrete Analyser	EK059G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500-NO3- F. Combined oxidised Nitrogen (NO2+NO3) is determined by Chemical Reduction and direct colourimetry by Discrete Analyser. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Organic Nitrogen as N (TKN - NH3) (discrete analyser)	EK060G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500-Norg/4500-NH3. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N By Discrete Analyser	EK061G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500-Norg D (In house). An aliquot of sample is digested using a high temperature Kjeldahl digestion to convert nitrogenous compounds to ammonia. Ammonia is determined colorimetrically by discrete analyser. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Total Nitrogen as N (TKN + Nox) By Discrete Analyser	EK062G	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500-Norg / 4500-NO3-. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Ionic Balance by PCT DA and Turbi SO4 DA	* EN055 - PG	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 1030F. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
TRH - Semivolatile Fraction	EP071	WATER	In house: Referenced to USEPA SW 846 - 8015A The sample extract is analysed by Capillary GC/FID and quantification is by comparison against an established 5 point calibration curve of n-Alkane standards. This method is compliant with the QC requirements of NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
TRH Volatiles/BTEX	EP080	WATER	In house: Referenced to USEPA SW 846 - 8260B Water samples are directly purged prior to analysis by Capillary GC/MS and quantification is by comparison against an established 5 point calibration curve. Alternatively, a sample is equilibrated in a headspace vial and a portion of the headspace determined by GCMS analysis. This method is compliant with the QC requirements of NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)

Preparation Methods	Method	Matrix	Method Descriptions
TKN/TP Digestion	EK061/EK067	WATER	In house: Referenced to APHA 4500 Norg - D; APHA 4500 P - H. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3)
Separatory Funnel Extraction of Liquids	ORG14	WATER	In house: Referenced to USEPA SW 846 - 3510B 100 mL to 1L of sample is transferred to a separatory funnel and serially extracted three times using DCM for each extract. The resultant extracts are combined, dehydrated and concentrated for analysis. This method is compliant with NEPM (2013) Schedule B(3) . ALS default excludes sediment which may be resident in the container.
Volatiles Water Preparation	ORG16-W	WATER	A 5 mL aliquot or 5 mL of a diluted sample is added to a 40 mL VOC vial for sparging.



SAMPLE RECEIPT NOTIFICATION (SRN)

Work Order : EM1914767

Client	: SNC-Lavalin / WBHO Infrastructure Joint Venture	Laboratory	: Environmental Division Melbourne
Contact	: ADAM PARKER	Contact	: Customer Services EM
Address	: PO Box 7678 CLOISTERS SQUARE 6850	Address	: 4 Westall Rd Springvale VIC Australia 3171
E-mail	: Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com	E-mail	: ALSEnviro.Melbourne@alsglobal.com
Telephone	: ----	Telephone	: +61-3-8549 9600
Facsimile	: ----	Facsimile	: +61-3-8549 9626
Project	: SHWF Water	Page	: 1 of 2
Order number	:	Quote number	: EM2019SNCWBHJV0002 (EN/333)
C-O-C number	: ----	QC Level	: NEPM 2013 B3 & ALS QC Standard
Site	: ----		
Sampler	: KW		

Dates

Date Samples Received	: 06-Sep-2019 18:30	Issue Date	: 06-Sep-2019
Client Requested Due Date	: 13-Sep-2019	Scheduled Reporting Date	: 13-Sep-2019

Delivery Details

Mode of Delivery	: Client Drop Off	Security Seal	: Not Available
No. of coolers/boxes	: 1	Temperature	: 5.9°C - Ice Bricks present
Receipt Detail	:	No. of samples received / analysed	: 1 / 1

General Comments

- This report contains the following information:
 - Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances
 - Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis
 - Proactive Holding Time Report
 - Requested Deliverables
- **Sample(s) received in non-ALS container(s).**
- **Please direct any queries related to sample condition / numbering / breakages to Client Services.**
- Sample Disposal - Aqueous (3 weeks), Solid (2 months) from receipt of samples.
- **Analytical work for this work order will be conducted at ALS Springvale.**
- **Please refer to the Proactive Holding Time Report table below which summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory. The absence of this summary table indicates that all samples have been received within the recommended holding times for the analysis requested.**
- Please be aware that APHA/NEPM recommends water and soil samples be chilled to less than or equal to 6°C for chemical analysis, and less than or equal to 10°C but unfrozen for Microbiological analysis. Where samples are received above this temperature, it should be taken into consideration when interpreting results. Refer to ALS EnviroMail 85 for ALS recommendations of the best practice for chilling samples after sampling and for maintaining a cool temperature during transit.



Sample Container(s)/Preservation Non-Compliances

All comparisons are made against pretreatment/preservation AS, APHA, USEPA standards.

- **No sample container / preservation non-compliance exists.**

Summary of Sample(s) and Requested Analysis

Some items described below may be part of a laboratory process necessary for the execution of client requested tasks. Packages may contain additional analyses, such as the determination of moisture content and preparation tasks, that are included in the package.

If no sampling time is provided, the sampling time will default 00:00 on the date of sampling. If no sampling date is provided, the sampling date will be assumed by the laboratory and displayed in brackets without a time component

Matrix: **WATER**

Laboratory sample ID Client sampling date / time Client sample ID

EM1914767-001	05-Sep-2019 00:00	QC 2
---------------	-------------------	------

WATER - EA005P pH (PCT)	WATER - EA015H Total Dissolved Solids - Standard Level	WATER - EG020F Dissolved Metals by ICP/MS	WATER - EK060G Organic Nitrogen as N (TKN - NH3) By Discrete	WATER - NT-01 & 02 Ca, Mg, Na, K, Cl, SO4, Alkalinity	WATER - NT-07 Total Nitrogen + NO2 + NO3 + NH3	WATER - W-04 TRH/BTEXN
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

Proactive Holding Time Report

The following table summarises breaches of recommended holding times that have occurred prior to samples/instructions being received at the laboratory.

Matrix: **WATER**

Evaluation: * = Holding time breach ; ✓ = Within holding time.

Method	Client Sample ID(s)	Container	Due for extraction	Due for analysis	Samples Received		Instructions Received	
					Date	Evaluation	Date	Evaluation
EA005-P: pH by PC Titrator								
QC 2		Clear Plastic Bottle - Natural	----	05-Sep-2019	06-Sep-2019	*	----	----

Requested Deliverables

ADAM PARKER

- *AU Certificate of Analysis - NATA (COA)	Email	Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com
- *AU Interpretive QC Report - DEFAULT (Anon QCI Rep) (QCI)	Email	Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com
- *AU QC Report - DEFAULT (Anon QC Rep) - NATA (QC)	Email	Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com
- A4 - AU Sample Receipt Notification - Environmental HT (SRN)	Email	Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com
- A4 - AU Tax Invoice (INV)	Email	Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com
- Chain of Custody (CoC) (COC)	Email	Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com
- EDI Format - ENMRG (ENMRG)	Email	Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

ALS Laboratory: please tick →

QALH ADD: 21 Roma Road Footscray VIC 3015
Ph: 03 9595 0800 E: alshide@alslab.com

QBR/SBAHL: 32 Sheppards Street Sheppards QLD 4075
Ph: 07 3243 2727 E: samples.br@alslab.com

QCLADN19H1: 16 Callenderdell Drive Clinton QLD 4890
Ph: 07 4121 5603 E: qcladn@alslab.com

QMAC PAK: 281 Fairfax Road Black Bay QLD 4017
Ph: 07 4944 6177 E: mac@alslab.com

QME1 BOURNF: 24 Wessell Drive Springvale VIC 3171
Ph: 03 8549 9800 E: samples.melbourne@alslab.com

QMUDGLL: 37 Sydney Road Muckees NSW 2807
Ph: 07 6377 6755 E: mudg@alslab.com

QIN W: AS 111: 11 Lake Cam Road Warrumbungle NSW 4904
Ph: 02 4968 9833 E: samples.nsw@alslab.com

QIN W16A: 413 Geary Place North Warrumbungle NSW 2541
Ph: 02 4422 2062 E: inw@alslab.com

QPHR18: 10 Mt Pleasant Road Warrumbungle NSW 4909
Ph: 02 9209 7800 E: samples.ph@alslab.com

QSYD18: 172 309 Woodlark Road Smithfield NSW 2121
Ph: 02 9448 5544 E: samples.syd@alslab.com

QTOURV1: 14-17 Deane Court Botolph Claydon QLD 4618
Ph: 07 4766 0000 E: kate@alslab.com


QWELL2: 280000 39 Kenny Street Wellington NSW 2200
Ph: 02 4399 3124 E: well@alslab.com

CLIENT: SNC-Lavalin WBHO JV Stockyard Hill Wind Farm		TURNAROUND REQUIREMENTS : <input type="checkbox"/> Standard TAT (List due date):		FOR LABORATORY USE ONLY (Circle)	
OFFICE: 1474 Stockyard Hill Rd, Stockyard Hill VIC 3373		(Standard TAT may be longer for some tests e.g., Ultra Trace Organics)		Custody Seal Intact? Yes No N/A	
PROJECT: SHWF Water		ALS QUOTE NO.:		Free ice / frozen ice bricks present upon receipt? Yes No N/A	
PURCHASE ORDER NUMBER:		COUNTRY OF ORIGIN: AUSTRALIA		Random Sample Temperature on Receipt: °C	
PROJECT MANAGER: Adam Parker		CONTACT PH: 0499 009 909		Other comment:	
SAMPLER: Kelvin Webb		SAMPLER MOBILE: 0432 495 417		RELINQUISHED BY:	
COC Emailed to ALS? (YES / NO)		EDD FORMAT (or default):		RECEIVED BY:	
Email Reports to: Kelvin.Webb@snclavalin.com; Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com		Email Invoice to: Emma.Heyde@snclavalin.com; Adam.Parker@snclavalin.com		DATE/TIME:	

COMMENTS/SPECIAL HANDLING/STORAGE OR DISPOSAL:

ALS USE ONLY	SAMPLE DETAILS			CONTAINER INFORMATION		ANALYSIS REQUIRED including SUITES (NB. Suite Codes must be listed to attract suite price)						Additional Information		
	LAB ID	SAMPLE ID	DATE / TIME	MATRIX	TYPE & PRESERVATIVE (refer to codes below)	TOTAL BOTTLES	Where Metals are required, specify Total (unfiltered bottle required) or Dissolved (field filtered bottle required).							
							pH, TDS	Major Cations	Major Anions (incl. Alkalinity)	Dissolved Fe, Mn	Nitrogens - speciated (TKN, NH3, NO2, NO3, Total N, Organic N, NOX)	TRH, BTEXN (NEPM 2013)	Comments on likely contaminant levels, dilutions, or samples requiring specific QC analysis etc.	
/	QC 2	5/09/2019	Water	Indicated on Bottles	6		X	X	X	X	X	X		
** Please chill [freeze nutrients] all samples on receipt until analysis **														
TOTAL							6							

Environmental Division
Melbourne
Work Order Reference
EM1914767



Telephone : - 61-3-8549 9800

Water Container Codes: P = Unpreserved Plastic; N = Nitric Preserved Plastic; ORC = Nitric Preserved ORC; SH = Sodium Hydroxide/Cd Preserved; S = Sodium Hydroxide Preserved Plastic; AG = Amber Glass Unpreserved; AP = Airfreight Unpreserved Plastic; V = VOA Vial HCl Preserved; VB = VOA Vial Sodium Bisulphate Preserved; VS = VOA Vial Sulfuric Preserved; AV = Airfreight Unpreserved Vial SG = Sulfuric Preserved Amber Glass; H = HCl preserved Plastic; HS = HCl preserved Speciation bottle; SP = Sulfuric Preserved Plastic; F = Formaldehyde Preserved Glass; Z = Zinc Acetate Preserved Bottle; E = EDTA Preserved Bottles; ST = Sterile Bottle; ASS = Plastic Bag for Acid Sulphate Soils; B = Unpreserved Bag; LI = Lupo's Iodine Preserved Bottles; STT = Sterile Sodium Thiosulfate Preserved Bottles.

AR 6/9

APPENDIX D

**Important Information Relating to
this Report**

The document ("Report") to which this page is attached and which this page forms a part of, has been issued by Golder Associates Pty Ltd ("Golder") subject to the important limitations and other qualifications set out below.

This Report constitutes or is part of services ("Services") provided by Golder to its client ("Client") under and subject to a contract between Golder and its Client ("Contract"). The contents of this page are not intended to and do not alter Golder's obligations (including any limits on those obligations) to its Client under the Contract.

This Report is provided for use solely by Golder's Client and persons acting on the Client's behalf, such as its professional advisers. Golder is responsible only to its Client for this Report. Golder has no responsibility to any other person who relies or makes decisions based upon this Report or who makes any other use of this Report. Golder accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage suffered by any person other than its Client as a result of any reliance upon any part of this Report, decisions made based upon this Report or any other use of it.

This Report has been prepared in the context of the circumstances and purposes referred to in, or derived from, the Contract and Golder accepts no responsibility for use of the Report, in whole or in part, in any other context or circumstance or for any other purpose.

The scope of Golder's Services and the period of time they relate to are determined by the Contract and are subject to restrictions and limitations set out in the Contract. If a service or other work is not expressly referred to in this Report, do not assume that it has been provided or performed. If a matter is not addressed in this Report, do not assume that any determination has been made by Golder in regards to it.

At any location relevant to the Services conditions may exist which were not detected by Golder, in particular due to the specific scope of the investigation Golder has been engaged to undertake. Conditions can only be verified at the exact location of any tests undertaken. Variations in conditions may occur between tested locations and there may be conditions which have not been revealed by the investigation and which have not therefore been taken into account in this Report.

Golder accepts no responsibility for and makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of the information provided to it by or on behalf of the Client or sourced from any third party. Golder has assumed that such information is correct unless otherwise stated and no responsibility is accepted by Golder for incomplete or inaccurate data supplied by its Client or any other person for whom Golder is not responsible. Golder has not taken account of matters that may have existed when the Report was prepared but which were only later disclosed to Golder.

Having regard to the matters referred to in the previous paragraphs on this page in particular, carrying out the Services has allowed Golder to form no more than an opinion as to the actual conditions at any relevant location. That opinion is necessarily constrained by the extent of the information collected by Golder or otherwise made available to Golder. Further, the passage of time may affect the accuracy, applicability or usefulness of the opinions, assessments or other information in this Report. This Report is based upon the information and other circumstances that existed and were known to Golder when the Services were performed and this Report was prepared. Golder has not considered the effect of any possible future developments including physical changes to any relevant location or changes to any laws or regulations relevant to such location.

Where permitted by the Contract, Golder may have retained subconsultants affiliated with Golder to provide some or all of the Services. However, it is Golder which remains solely responsible for the Services and there is no legal recourse against any of Golder's affiliated companies or the employees, officers or directors of any of them.

By date, or revision, the Report supersedes any prior report or other document issued by Golder dealing with any matter that is addressed in the Report.

Any uncertainty as to the extent to which this Report can be used or relied upon in any respect should be referred to Golder for clarification



golder.com